FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School: 4631 - Thurgood Marshall Fundamental

District: 52 - Pinellas

Principal: Dr. Solomon J. Lowery

SAC Chair: Dana Douglas

Superintendent: Dr. Michael A Grego

School Board Approval Date: 09/24/2013 Last Modified on: 10/28/2013



Pam Stewart, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

| Address: | Physical Mailing 3901 22ND AVE S 3901 22ND AVE St Petersburg, FL 33711 St Petersburg, FL 3 | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| Phone Number: | 727-522-1737 | | |
| Web Address: | http://www.marshall-ms.pinellas.k12.fl.us | | |
| Email Address: | 4631.principal@pcsb.org | | |

| School Type: | Middle School | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|----|--|
| Alternative: | No | | | |
| Charter: | | N | lo | |
| Title I: | No | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch: | 39% | | | |
| Minority: | 48% | | | |
| School Grade History: | 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 A A A B | | | |

NOTE

Current School Status

School Information

Name

School-Level Information

School Thurgood Marshall Fundamental

Principal's name Dr. Solomon J. Lowery

School Advisory Council chair's name Dana Douglas

Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Title

| Haino | 1100 | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Dr. Solomon J. Lowery | Principal | |
| Amanda Weinberg | Asst. Principal | |
| Zanetta Robinson | Literacy Coach | |
| Peter Hynes | Related Arts Dept. Chair | |
| Kerry Giordano | Soc. Studies Dept. Chair | |
| Marissa McCarthy | Math Dept. Chair | |
| Susan Houser | Reading/Lang. Arts Dept. Chair | |
| Kendra Brown | Music Dept. Chair | |
| Angela Beane | Science Dept. Chair | |
| Iris Williams | Soc. Worker | |
| Mary Whitlow | Psychologist | |
| | | |

District-Level Information

District Pinellas

Superintendent's name Dr. Michael A Grego

Date of school board approval of SIP 09/24/2013

School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe the membership of the SAC including position titles

Solomon Lowery Principal

Dana Douglas SAC Chairperson

Sean Singh

Andrew Ramirez Instructional Staff

Glenda Booth School Support Staff

7th Grade Parents

Patrice Moore

Leslie Ward

Jennifer Crockett

Lisa Russell

8th Grade Parents

Sandra Coburn

Louise Peterson

Joseph Amoriello

*6th Grade Parents will be seated during the September SAC meeting

Describe the involvement of the SAC in the development of this school improvement plan SAC reviews the SIP draft provide feedback, determine if the allocated funds are appropriately budgeted to support students achievement, school VISION/Mission, aligns with District Strategic Plan Goals, and approval of the plan.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

Monthly presentations curriculum dept.

Participation in meet the Legislator

Review of SIP

School performance data presentation from Principal

Describe the projected use of school improvement funds and include the amount allocated to each project

- 1. \$2482.00 TDE's to cover the costs of Temporary Duty Elsewhere (TDE's) for professional development.
- 2. \$2482.00 Technology
- 3. \$2482.00 Student support services, remediation, and incentives

Verify that your school is in compliance with Section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the School Advisory Council by selecting one of the boxes below In Compliance

If no, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

Highly Qualified Staff

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Administrators

- # Administrators 2
- # Receiving Effective rating or higher (not entered because basis is < 10)

Administrator Information:

| Dr. Solomon J. Lowery | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| Principal | Years as Administrator: 6 | Years at Current School: 1 |
| Credentials | Education Leadership (K-12), Criminal Justice, Florida A&M | (K-12),Argosy University; M.Ed. National-Louis University; B.S. I University, Certification: Educatior e Grades Social Science (5-9) |
| Performance Record | 66%, Writing Mastery: 74%, S Gains: 41%, Math Learning G 45%, Math Lowest 25%: 74% 79% of subgroups made AYP Assistant Principal, Azalea Mi 2009-2010: Grade: *, Reading 41%, Writing Mastery: 84%, S Learning Gains: 57%, Math Learning Gains: | ga High School ng Mastery: 74% Ga High School ng Mastery: 35%, Math Mastery: Science: 30%, Reading Learning Gains: 80%, Reading Lowest 25%: Goddle School g Mastery: 48%, Math Mastery: Science Mastery: 22%, Reading earning Gains: 64%, Reading earning Gains: 64%, Reading 69%, Math Lowest 25% Learning ia met. White, Black, ED, & SWD |

| Years as Administrator: 0 | Years at Current School: 4 |
|---|--|
| Florida, B.A., Secondary Sci Certifications: Gifted Endors | nip (K-12), University of South ience Education, Youngstown State; sement, Science, Grades 6-12, ondary Earth/Space Science 6-12 |
| 2012-2013 Grade: A, , Read Math Mastery: 66% Writing Mastery: 60% Science: 66% Reading Learning Gains: 69 Math Learning Gains: 62% Reading Lowest 25%: 62% Math Lowest 25%: 51% | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| ting or higher (not entered because | e basis is < 10) |
| rmation: | |
| Years as Coach: 0 | Years at Current School: 10 |
| Reading/Literacy | |
| University, M.P.W., Chatham | Writing Emphasis, Columbus State n University; Certifications – English on (1-6), ESOL (K-12), Reading (120 |
| 2012-2013 Grade: A, , Read Math Mastery: 66% Writing Mastery: 60% Science: 66% Reading Learning Gains: 69 Math Learning Gains: 62% Reading Lowest 25%: 62% Math Lowest 25%: 51% | |
| | |
| 63 | |
| ng or higher 61, 97% | |
| er (HQT), as defined in 20 U.S.C. | § 7801(23) 92% |
| ant to Section 1012.2315(2), F.S. | 59, 94% |
| | |
| 19% | |
| s 31, 49% | |
| | M.Ed. Educational Leadersh Florida, B.A., Secondary Sc Certifications: Gifted Endors Elementary Education, Secondary Education Math Mastery: 60% Reading Learning Gains: 62% Reading Lowest 25%: 62% Math Lowest 25%: 51% 1 ting or higher (not entered because trmation: Years as Coach: 0 Reading/Literacy B.A., Communications with University, M.P.W., Chatham (6-12), Elementary Education hours) 2012-2013 Grade: A, , Reading Mastery: 66% Writing Mastery: 66% Writing Mastery: 60% Science: 66% Reading Learning Gains: 69% Math Learning Gains: 69% Reading Lowest 25%: 62% Math Lowest 25%: 51% 63 Ing or higher 61, 97% Iter (HQT), as defined in 20 U.S.C. |

National Board Certified 5, 8%

first-year teachers 3, 5%

with 1-5 years of experience 21, 33%

with 6-14 years of experience 22, 35%

with 15 or more years of experience 17, 27%

Education Paraprofessionals

of paraprofessionals 5

Highly Qualified, as defined in 20 U.S.C. § 6319(c) 4, 80%

Other Instructional Personnel

of instructional personnel not captured in Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Classroom Teachers or Education Paraprofessionals 0

receiving effective rating or higher (not entered because basis is < 10)

Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe your school's strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school; include the person responsible.

Participation in annual Job Fair

New Teacher mentor program in place to support new staff members (ongoing).

Requiring all staff to attain appropriate credentialing as a condition of employment.

Specialize attraction programs offered at school.

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe your school's teacher mentoring program/plan including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

All new candidate to teaching and new candidates to our school are provided a mentor to ensure a successful transition into our school family.

We participate in our district Transition-to-Teaching program as a means to attain both district and site based support.

Teachers are paired with veteran teachers as a measure taken to reassure the fidelity of the pairings. However, there are instances in which we must place new teachers with someone outside their content area.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe your school's data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of your MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

- -Facilitator generates agenda and leads team discussions
- -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data

- -Technology Specialist brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- -Recorder/Note Taker documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- -Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda Meeting time:

The School Based Instructional Leadership Team meets on a weekly basis to also include the School Based Response to Intervention Team. The school based Tier I leadership team reviews and screens data to identify struggling students through FAIR testing and Florida DOE differentiated accountability assessments. The team reviews progress monitoring data by grade level, teacher and subject areas to identify students who are at moderate to high risk for not meeting benchmarks and learning expectations. The school based Rtl Leadership Team will collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation of initiatives, made decisions and continue to increase the awareness of effective instructional practices through on-going faculty meetings.

The school based Rtl Leadership Team is involved in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan by providing the SIP writing team with their recommendations of establishing FCAT reading, Writing, and Math supports.

- -Our Guidance Department works with our struggling to implement wrap around services through participation in Achievement Groups.
- -Individualized Goals are set for students and ongoing progress monitoring (each grading period) is our instrument utilized to gauge overall effectiveness.
- -Fundamental Essentials, ELP, Tutoring, AVID, and academic competitions are an additional support for student academic sucess.

What is the function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to the school's MTSS and the SIP?

Administration - Pull pertinent data reports for review, distribution, and creation of action plans. Guidance - Assist with performance data reports, class placement/academic performance review, and progress monitoring

Dept. Chairs - Align department goals with District and School VIsion/Mission/SIP.

Social Worker - Work with families to provide social services and support.

Psychologist - Provided neccessary testing, review of disibilities (when needed) and alignment of interventions

Literacy Coach - Provide individualized pull out services, teacher support, and modeling of best practices

V.E. Liasion - Align wrap around services with students' IEP

Describe the systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

Classroom visitation database documents trends of best practices and fidelity school wide.

Common Assessments are used to gauge student status and predict success rate on standardized assessments.

Benchmark Assessments are used to gauge student status and predict success rate on standardized assessments. This assessment also provides reports utilized for ongoing progress monitoring and remediation based on areas the student(s) struggle in.

Conferences are used to communicate plans with stakeholders and bridge the gap between home/school.

Progress reports and Grades are used to identify how student(s) are performing and to gauge the effectiveness of the support systems put in place.

Student progression on the MTSS Chart

Describe the data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance)

Attendance is used to identify students who exhibit truancy issues.

Student grades are used to identify the affect of the supports put in place

Intensive classes, tutoring, detention, demerits and student engagement are used to analyze school wide trends or individual student status.

Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

We facilitate parent workshops and regular staff meetings in which data is reviewed to make data driven decisions. We also utilize visual aides to delineate the levels of support and the students who fall in each area of the MTSS continuum.

Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

Strategy: Before or After School Program

Minutes added to school year: 9,000

Strategy Purpose(s)

Instruction in core academic subjects, Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education

Strategy Description

Tutoring, remediation, and course recovery in core content areas.

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy? Participation in ELP, tutoring, achievement groups, and student information sessions.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Administration and Guidance

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

| Name | Title |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Solomon Lowery | Principal |
| Amanda Weinberg | Asst. Principal |
| Kendra Banks | Music Dept. Chair |
| Zenetta Robinson | Literacy Coach |

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes, roles, functions) School based LLT meets weekly to align school wide literacy efforts. Our Literacy Coach supports, models, observes, and provides feedback to teachers on exemplar lessons. Administration supports this initiative by providing resources, professional development, data reviews/chats, and ongoing progress monitoring. Dept. Chairs reiterate our commitment to Literacy during their Dept. meetings while soliciting feedback for review.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Grammar, Complex text, Literacy, and Persuasive writing.

Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction

Describe how the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

We have AVID school wide as one of our school initiatives. Additionally, all students on our campus takes Reading/Literacy as a means to enhance reading, writing, and vocabulary/grammar skills.

Preschool Transition

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(G) and 1115(c)(1)(D), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs, as applicable N/A due to grade level reflected above.

College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

We have literacy, engineering, and robotics school wide. These initiatives are embedded in cross curricular academia.

How does the school promote academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful?

Our school participates in the Epep career planning. This year we will participate in ReadiStep assessment/career planning. Counselors facilitate groups on college and career readiness planning quarterly.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>, which is maintained by the Department of Education, pursuant to Rule 6A-10.038, F.A.C

Our counselors utilize a number of resources to align our efforts with our feeder elementary and high schools. Administration works with pertinent personnel to ensure rigorous curriculum with diverse options are available. We also offer honors and advanced courses to challenge our highest performing students. Academic competitions are an additional arena to reinforce school wide efforts.

Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Area 1: Reading

| Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on |
|---|
| FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA |

| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Target % |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| All Students | 75% | | No | 78% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | 86% | | No | 87% |
| Black/African American | 54% | | No | 59% |
| Hispanic | 88% | | No | 89% |
| White | 87% | | No | 88% |
| English language learners | | | | |
| Students with disabilities | 44% | | No | 50% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 61% | | No | 65% |

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 235 | 26% | 36% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 421 | 47% | 44% |

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | [data excluded fo | r privacy reasons] | 0% |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 | [data excluded fo | r privacy reasons] | 0% |

Learning Gains

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA) | 590 | 69% | 74% |
| Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0) | 238 | 62% | |

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | [data excluded for | r privacy reasons] | 100% |
| Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | [data excluded for | r privacy reasons] | 100% |
| Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | [data excluded for | r privacy reasons] | 100% |

| On-time graduates scoring "college re Postsecondary Education Readiness any college placement test authorized | Test (P.E.R.T.) or | 2012 Actual # [data excluded for | r privacy reasons] | 2014 Target 0% |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 6A-10.0315, F.A.C. | | | | |
| Area 2: Writing | | | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5 | | 294 | 60% | 65% |
| Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4 | | [data excluded for | privacy reasons] | 0% |
| Area 3: Mathematics | | | | |
| Elementary and Middle School N | Mathematics | | | |
| Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess | , | • | | ement Leve |
| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Targe |
| All Students | 69% | | No | 72% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | 95% | | No | 96% |
| Black/African American | 42% | | No | 48% |
| Hispanic | 90% | | No | 91% |
| White | 83% | | No | 84% |
| English language learners | | | | |
| Students with disabilities | 37% | | No | 43% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 53% | | No | 57% |
| Florida Comprehensive Asse | ssment Test 2.0 | (FCAT 2.0) | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Targe |
| Students scoring at Achievement I | Level 3 | 258 | 29% | 39% |
| Students scoring at or above Achie | evement Level 4 | 303 | 34% | 44% |
| Florida Alternate Assessmen | t (FAA) | | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Targe |
| _ | Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | | or privacy reasons] | |
| Students scoring at or above Leve | el 7 | [data excluded fo | or privacy reasons] | 0% |
| Learning Gains | | | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Targe |
| Learning Gains | | 899 | 62% | 70% |
| Students in lowest 25% making lead 2.0 and EOC) | arning gains (FCA | Г 262 | 51% | 60% |

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Middle school participation in high school EOC and industry certifications | 300 | 33% | 35% |
| Middle school performance on high school EOC and industry certifications | 300 | 90% | 95% |
| Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 234 | 46% | 50% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 234 | 42% | 47% |
| Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 66 | 27% | 32% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 66 | 67% | 72% |
| rea 4: Science | | | |
| Elementary School Science | | | |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 | (FCAT 2.0) | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | | | |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | | | |
| | | | |
| Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) | | | |
| Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 | | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science | | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science | (FCAT 2.0) | | |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 | (FCAT 2.0) 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | (FCAT 2.0) 2013 Actual # 297 | 2013 Actual % 24% | 2014 Target 30% |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | (FCAT 2.0) 2013 Actual # 297 | 2013 Actual % 24% | 2014 Target 30% |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | (FCAT 2.0) 2013 Actual # 297 297 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % 24% 41% | 2014 Target 30% 46% 2014 Target |

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Targe |
|--|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| # of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) | 7 | | 10 |
| Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students | 270 | 32% | 50% |
| Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE) | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more <i>accelerated</i> courses | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in accelerated courses | | | |
| Students taking CTE industry certification exams | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams | | | |
| CTE program concentrators | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Area 8: Early Warning Systems | | | |
| Elementary School Indicators | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students retained, pursuant to s. 1008.25, F.S. | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students who are not proficient in reading by third grade | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students who receive two or more behavior referrals | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that lead to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S. | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Middle School Indicators | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time | 46 | 5% | 40% |
| Students who fail a mathematics course | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students who fail an English Language Arts course | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students who fail two or more courses in any subject | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Students who receive two or more behavior referrals | 119 | 12% | 8% |
| Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S. | 61 | 6% | 4% |
| | | | |

| We are a Fundamental school which requires parent attendance at PTSA each semester. | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Specific Parental Involvement Targets | | | |
| Target | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
| PTSA Attendance | 913 | 97% | 100% |
| Area 10: Additional Targets | | | |
| Description of additional targets N/A | | | |
| Specific Additional Targets | | | |
| Target | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target 9 |
| N/A | 0 | 0% | 0% |

Goals Summary

Goal #1:

1-100% of students make gains in standardized testing.

Goal #2:

2. Full implementation of CCSS in all curriculum areas.

Goal #3:

3. Promote grade level collaboration.

Goal #4:

4. Provide professional development to assist teachers in reaching the highly effective rating on teacher evaluation.

Goals Detail

Goal #1: 1-100% of students make gains in standardized testing.

Targets Supported • Reading

- · Reading AMO's
- Reading FCAT2.0
- · Reading FAA
- · Reading Learning Gains
- Reading CELLA
- · Reading Postsecondary Readiness
- Writing
- Math
- Math Elementary and Middle School
- Math Elementary and Middle AMO's
- Math Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0
- Math Elementary and Middle FAA
- · Math Elementary and Middle Learning Gains
- Math Middle School Acceleration
- Math High School
- · Math High School AMO's

•

- · Math High School Postsecondary Readiness
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- Social Studies
- · U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- · Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation

Resources Available to Support the Goal

 1-CCSS blended pacing 2-PARC style assessment 3-Funding 4-Tutoring 5-ELP 5-Fundamental Essentials 6-Online tutoring KHAN Academy 7-School supplies for those in need 8-Supplemental materials 9-Edx.org-free content PD 10-Staff Meetings 11-PLC/Department Meeting 12-AVID 13-Diffrenciated Instruction 14-Collaborative Teaching 15-Project Based Learning

to Achieving the Goal

Targeted Barriers • 1-Lack of collaboration 2-Lack of parent/student motivation or buy in 3- Lack of teacher motivation and or buy in 4-Access to technology 5-Lack of material resources (labs) 6-Teacher professional development funding

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Action:

Progress Monitoring

Person or Persons Responsible:

Department Chairs/Administration/Guidance

Target Dates or Schedule:

According to county guidelines

Evidence of Completion:

data analysis

Goal #2:

2. Full implementation of CCSS in all curriculum areas.

Targets Supported • Reading

- Reading AMO's
- Reading FCAT2.0
- · Reading FAA
- · Reading Learning Gains
- Reading CELLA
- · Reading Postsecondary Readiness
- Writing
- Math
- Math Elementary and Middle School
- Math Elementary and Middle AMO's
- Math Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0
- Math Elementary and Middle FAA
- · Math Elementary and Middle Learning Gains
- Math Middle School Acceleration
- Math High School
- · Math High School AMO's

•

- · Math High School Postsecondary Readiness
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- Social Studies
- · U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- · STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- · EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation

Resources Available to Support the Goal

 1-CCSS blended pacing 2-PARC style assessment 3-Funding 4-Tutoring 5-ELP 5-Fundamental Essentials 6-Online tutoring KHAN Academy 7-School supplies for those in need 8-Supplemental materials 9-Edx.org-free content PD 10-Staff Meetings 11-PLC/Department Meeting 12-AVID 13-Diffrenciated Instruction 14-Collaborative Teaching 15-Project Based Learning

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Action:

Lesson Plan reveiws

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administartion

Target Dates or Schedule:

bi-weekly

Evidence of Completion:

plan analysis

Goal #3: 3. Promote grade level collaboration.

Targets Supported • Reading

- · Reading AMO's
- Reading FCAT2.0
- · Reading FAA
- Reading Learning Gains
- Reading CELLA
- · Reading Postsecondary Readiness
- Writing
- Math
- Math Elementary and Middle School
- Math Elementary and Middle AMO's
- Math Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0
- Math Elementary and Middle FAA
- · Math Elementary and Middle Learning Gains
- Math Middle School Acceleration
- Math High School
- · Math High School AMO's

•

- · Math High School Postsecondary Readiness
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- · Social Studies
- · U.S. History EOC
- · Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- · Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- · STEM High School
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- · EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation

Resources Available to Support the Goal 1-CCSS blended pacing 2-Funding 3-Supplemental materials 4-Staff Meetings
 5-PLC/Department Meeting 6-AVID 7-Project Based Learning

Last Modified: 10/28/2013

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Action:

Grade level PLCs

Person or Persons Responsible:

All Instructional Staff

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Positive student growth noted through progress monitoring data.

Goal #4: 4. Provide professional development to assist teachers in reaching the highly

effective rating on teacher evaluation.

Targets Supported • Reading

- · Reading AMO's
- Reading FCAT2.0
- · Reading FAA
- Reading Learning Gains
- Reading CELLA
- · Reading Postsecondary Readiness
- Writing
- Math
- Math Elementary and Middle School
- · Math Elementary and Middle AMO's
- Math Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0
- Math Elementary and Middle FAA
- · Math Elementary and Middle Learning Gains
- Math Middle School Acceleration
- Math High School
- · Math High School AMO's

•

- · Math High School Postsecondary Readiness
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- · Social Studies
- · U.S. History EOC
- · Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- · Science Middle School
- · Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- · STEM High School
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- · EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation

Resources Available to Support the Goal

Grant Writing

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

Targeted Barriers • Funding Time Teacher Knowledge

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Action:

Provide professional development opportunities.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Literacy Coach, School Based Leadership Team

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

attendance rosters

Action Plan for Improvement

Goal #1: 1-100% of students make gains in standardized testing.

Barrier #1: 1-Lack of collaboration 2-Lack of parent/student motivation or buy in 3- Lack of

teacher motivation and or buy in 4-Access to technology 5-Lack of material

resources (labs) 6-Teacher professional development funding

Strategy #1 to

Overcome the

Barrier

Professional Development

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item

Action:

Pro Ed

Person or Persons Responsible:

Instructional Staff

Target Dates or Schedule:

as needed

Evidence of Completion:

attendance roster

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

Person or Persons Responsible:

Target Dates or Schedule:

Evidence of Completion

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

Person or Persons Responsible:

Target Dates or Schedule:

Evidence of Completion:

Goal #1: 1-100% of students make gains in standardized testing.

Barrier #1: 1-Lack of collaboration 2-Lack of parent/student motivation or buy in 3- Lack of

teacher motivation and or buy in 4-Access to technology 5-Lack of material

resources (labs) 6-Teacher professional development funding

Strategy #2 to

Overcome the

Barrier

Program Materials

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #2 - Budget Item

Action:

evidence based program materials and rewards

Person or Persons Responsible:

All Staff

Target Dates or Schedule:

as needed

Evidence of Completion:

depletion of consumables

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

Person or Persons Responsible:

Target Dates or Schedule:

Evidence of Completion

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

Person or Persons Responsible:

Target Dates or Schedule:

Evidence of Completion:

Goal #4: 4. Provide professional development to assist teachers in reaching the highly

effective rating on teacher evaluation.

Barrier #1: Funding Time Teacher Knowledge

PD Opportunity

Strategy #1 to

Overcome the

Barrier

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - PD Opportunity

Action:

PD Opportunity

Person or Persons Responsible:

Instructional Staff

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Sign in sheets

Facilitator:

Participants:

Instructional Staff

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

Action:

Person or Persons Responsible:

Target Dates or Schedule:

Evidence of Completion

| Action: | |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Person or Persons Res | sponsible: |
| Target Dates or Sched | ule: |
| Evidence of Completio | on: |

Coordination and Integration

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe how federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include Title I, Part A; Title I, Part C Migrant; Title I, Part D; Title II; Title III; Title VI, Part B; Title X Homeless; Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI); violence prevention programs; nutrition programs; housing programs; Head Start; adult education; CTE; and job training, as applicable to your school N/A

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals:

Goal #4: 4. Provide professional development to assist teachers in reaching the highly effective rating on teacher evaluation.

Barrier #1: Funding Time Teacher Knowledge

Strategy #1: PD Opportunity
Action Step #1: PD Opportunity

Facilitator leader

Participants

Instructional Staff

Target dates or schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Sign in sheets

(Person Responsible: Instructional Staff)

Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals

Budget Summary by Goal

| Goal | Description | Total |
|---------|--|---------|
| Goal #1 | 1-100% of students make gains in standardized testing. | \$7,444 |
| | Total | \$7,444 |

Budget Summary by Resource Type and Funding Source

| Resource Type | Evidence- Based Program | Total |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| SIP | \$7,444 | \$7,444 |
| Total | \$7,444 | \$7,444 |

Budget Detail

Goal #1: 1-100% of students make gains in standardized testing.

Barrier #1: 1-Lack of collaboration 2-Lack of parent/student motivation or buy in 3- Lack of teacher motivation and or buy in 4-Access to technology 5-Lack of material resources (labs) 6-Teacher professional development funding

Strategy #1: Professional Development

Action Step #1: Pro Ed

Resource Type Evidence-Based Program

Resource Materials/Supplies, Substitute Teachers, Technology, Workshops

Funding Source SIP **Amount Needed** \$3,722

Strategy #2: Program Materials

Action Step #1: evidence based program materials and rewards

Resource Type Evidence-Based Program

Resource CCSS materials, web based materials, book study materials, student reward

system

Funding Source SIP
Amount Needed \$3,722