District VMV Goals	School Improvement Information	Data & Information Sources	AdvancE D
Vision	Vision: Every Child's Potential a Reality	Narrative	Standard 1-1.1, 1.2: Purpose
Mission	Mission: Committed to the Success of Children, Families and Staff	Narrative	Standard 1-1.2: Purpose
Values	Values: Collaborative, Committed, Caring, Communicating	Narrative	Standard 1-1.3: Purpose
	Part I: Current School Status		
	A. School Information		
	1. School-Level Information		
	□ School	Narrative	
	Seminole Elementary		
	☐ Principal's name: Diane Cato	Narrative	
	☐ School Advisory Council chair's name Jessica Dang	Narrative	
	2. District-Level Information		
	District	<b>√</b>	
	Pinellas County		
	☐ Superintendent's name	<b>√</b>	
	Michael A. Grego Ed.D.		
	☐ Date of school board approval of SIP	<b>√</b>	
	September 24, 2013		

	B. School Advisory Council (SAC)		
3	Describe the involvement of the SAC in the development of this school improvement plan.	Narrative	Standard 2-2.4, 2.5: Governan ce and Leadershi
	SAC gave preliminary input in the spring. Staff input gathered in the summer will be shared with SAC in August. SAC will add any more necessary input at that point before they finalize and approve the SIP.		
3	2. Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	Narrative	
	The SAC will review school-wide data to find areas of need and provide guidance and support for our areas of need. In addition, they will provide ongoing input for monitoring and updating the SIP.		
4	3. Describe the projected use of school improvement funds and include the amount allocated to each project.	Narrative	
	School improvement funds are projected to be used to pay for substitutes to allow grade levels to plan together for implementation of the Common Core State Standards (\$2500). The remainder of the school improvement funds are projected to be used for professional development in reading CCSS (\$500).		
3	4. Verify that your school is in compliance with Section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the School Advisory Council by selecting one of the boxes below:  X Yes, we are in compliance.  □ No, we are not in compliance.	Narrative	
3	5. If no, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	Narrative	
	C. Highly Qualified Staff		
	1. Administrators		
	For each of your school's administrators (principal and all assistant principals), complete the following fields:		Executive Summary: Section 1
	a) Name	Narrative	Executive Summary:

			Section 1
	Diane Cato		
3	b) Credentials (degrees and certifications)	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	B.A., M.A., Ed.S. / Educational Leadership, School Principal, Reading K-12, Early Childhood, Elementary Education		
3	c) Number of years as an administrator	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	9		
3	d) Number of years at the current school;	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	2		
	e) Performance record of increasing student achievement throughout their career, which should include their history of school grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (i.e. percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, improvement of lowest 25th percentile in reading and mathematics, pursuant to Section 1008.34(3)(b), F.S.), and progress toward Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)	DecisonEd/DW	
1,2,3	G 1 1 G 1 2012/12 G EGATE (" ' D 1' C5 M /1 40 G '		
	School Grade 2012/13 = C, FCAT proficiency Reading = 65; Math = 48; Science = 53; Writing = 54; LG Gains Math = 68; LG Reading = 64; LG Lowest 25% Math = 64; LG Lowest 25% Reading = 66. AMOs 2012 Targets: Reading: =75, Math = 62		
	School Grade: 2011/12=B; FCAT proficiency Reading: 2011/12=68%; FCAT proficiency Math: 2011/12=48%; FCAT Proficiency Writing: 2011/12=81%		
	FCAT Proficiency Science: 2011/12=47%, LG Math = 68%, LG Reading = 65%, LG lowest 25% Reading = 62%, LG lowest 25% Math = 61%		
	School Grade: 2010/11=B; FCAT proficiency Reading: 2010/11=87% FCAT proficiency Math: 2010/11=84%; FCAT Proficiency Writing: 2010/11=96% FCAT Proficiency Science: 2010/11=56%		

	a) Name	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	Reva Faust		
3	b) Credentials (degrees and certifications)	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	M.Ed., Educational Leadership/University of South Florida; Elementary Education 1-6 Certification		
3	c) Number of years as an administrator	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	9.5		
3	d) Number of years at the current school;	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	3		Section 1
	e) Performance record of increasing student achievement throughout their career, which should include their history of school grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (i.e. percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, improvement of lowest 25th percentile in reading and mathematics, pursuant to Section 1008.34(3)(b), F.S.), and progress toward Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)	DecisonEd/DW	
1,2,3			
	School Grade 2012/13 = C, FCAT proficiency Reading = 65; Math = 48; Science = 53; Writing = 54; LG Gains Math = 68; LG Reading = 64; LG Lowest 25% Math = 64; LG Lowest 25% Reading = 66. AMOs 2012 Targets: Reading: =75, Math = 62 School Grade: 2011/12=B; FCAT proficiency Reading: 2011/12=68%; FCAT proficiency Math: 2011/12=48%; FCAT Proficiency Writing: 2011/12=81%		
	FCAT Proficiency Science: 2011/12=47%, LG Math = 68%, LG Reading = 65%, LG lowest 25% Reading = 62%, LG lowest 25% Math = 61% School Grade: 2010/11=B; FCAT proficiency Reading: 2010/11=87% FCAT proficiency Math: 2010/11=84%; FCAT Proficiency Writing: 2010/11=96%		

	FCAT Proficiency Science: 2010/11=56%		
	2. Instructional Coaches		Executive
			Summary: Section 1
	For each of your school's instructional coaches, complete the following fields		Executive
			Summary:
	a) Name NA NO COACHES at this time	Narrative	Section 1 Executive
	ay italia italia deli alla alla alla alla alla alla alla a		Summary:
			Section 1
	b) Subject area NA	Narrative	Executive
			Summary: Section 1
	c) Credentials (degrees and certifications) NA	Narrative	Executive
			Summary: Section 1
	d) Number of years as an instructional coach NA	Narrative	Executive
			Summary:
	e) Number of years at the current school NA	Narrative	Section 1 Executive
			Summary:
	f) Performance record of increasing student achievement throughout their career, which should include their	DecisionEd/D	Section 1
	history of school grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (i.e. percentage data for achievement levels,	W W	
	learning gains, improvement of lowest 25th percentile in reading and mathematics, pursuant to Section		
	1008.34(3)(b), F.S.), and progress toward Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) NA		
1,2			
	g) Full-time or Part-time NA	Narrative	Executive

			Summary:
			Section 1
	h) School-based or District-based NA	Narrative	Executive
			Summary:
			Section 1
	3. Instructional Staff		Executi
			ve
			Summa
			ry:
			Section
			1
	a) # of instructional employees	DecisionEd/D	Executive
	a) # of histractional employees	W	Summary:
			Section 1
	51		Section 1
	b) % receiving effective rating or higher	Narrative	
3			
	Complete data currently unavailable; 98% received effective or better rating based on currently available data		
	c) % Highly Qualified Teacher (HQT), as defined in NCLB through a High, Objective, Uniform State Standard	Narrative	Executive
3	of Evaluation (HOUSSE)		Summary:
	of Evaluation (HOUSSE)		Section 1
	51		
	d) % certified in-field, pursuant to Section 1012.2315(2), F.S.	Narrative	Executive
	d) % certified in-field, pursuant to Section 1012.2313(2), 1.3.	ranative	Summary:
			Section 1
	51		Section 1
	e) % ESOL endorsed	DecisonED/D	Executive
		W	Summary:
2			Section 1
	19.6		
2	f) % reading endorsed	DecisionED/D	Executive

		W	Summary: Section 1
	3.9		
	g) % with advanced degrees	DecisionED/D W	Executive Summary:
3			Section 1
	27.5		
3	h) % National Board Certified	DecisionED/D W	Executive Summary: Section 1
3	2.0		Section 1
	i) % first-year teachers	DecisionED/D W	Executive Summary: Section 1
	5.9		
	j) % with 1-5 years of experience	DecisionED/D W	Executive Summary: Section 1
	9.8		
	k) % with 6-14 years of experience	DecisionED/D W	Executive Summary: Section 1
	25.5		
	1) % with 15 or more years of experience	DecisionED/D W	Executive Summary: Section 1
	58.8		
	4. Paraprofessionals		Executive Summary: Section 1
	a) # of paraprofessionals NONE	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1

b) % Highly Qualified Teacher, as defined in NCLB through a High, Objective, Uniform State Standard of Evaluation (HOUSSE)	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
NA		Section 1
5. Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies		
a) Describe your school's strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible.	Narrative	Standard 2: Governan ce and Leadershi
The Pinellas County School District has a process in place which assures that all schools recruit and retain high-quality, highly qualified teachers. The district requires that all instructional personnel complete a orientation and mentoring program. Pinellas County's taxpayers passed a referendum which funds higher salaries for all teachers. Within the school, Professional Learning Communities, on site mentors, and monthly curriculum trainings provide support for teachers' ongoing training. The lead mentor, Anita Winchell, is responsible to provide and organize mentoring; administrators are responsible to ensure the implementation and effectiveness of the mentoring plan.		
6. Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan		
a) Describe your school's teacher mentoring program/plan including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities.	Narrative	Standard 3-3.3, 3.7,3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning Standard 5- 5.2,5.5Usi ng Results for Continuou

	Seminole Elem. has a lead mentor who works with new teachers at least monthly. In addition, a mentor teacher at the new teacher's grade level is assigned and works with the new teacher ongoing. Planned mentoring activities include: Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student achievement and data and using data to plan instruction.		s Improvem ent
	D. Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)		
4	1. Describe your school's data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of your SIP and MTSS structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs.	Narrative	Standard 3-3.7: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
	SIP goals are determined through current data and annual measureable objectives. Action plans determine steps taken to meet the goal. The action plans become the agenda(s) for the MTSS and SIP team for implementation and monitoring. Ongoing formative assessment is used to determine the adequacy of the core instruction and needed interventions for Tier 2 and Tier 3 supports. Resources and professional development are aligned to SIP goals and action plans throughout the year. Student needs for Tier 2 and Tier 3 supports are monitored by ongoing progress monitoring assessments given weekly or biweekly to each group or individual student. This data is funneled back to the MTSS team to further action.		
4	2. Identify the names and position titles of the members of your school-based leadership team. What is the function and responsibility of each team member as it relates to MTSS and the SIP?	Narrative	Standard 2-2.4: Governan ce and Leadershi p

	Holly Schultz, guidance counselor, team facilitator, generates agenda and leads team discussions; Diane Cato, principal; Reva Faust, assistant principal, Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access; Cathy Carey, behavior specialist, Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda; Cassie Meyer, social worker; Michele Judkin, school psychologist, Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data; Jennifer Conde, KG representative, Cindy Mucerino, Gr. 1 rep, Kristin Luczaj, Gr. 2 rep, Renee Mortellite, Gr. 3 rep, Vicki Grimm, Gr. 4 rep, Rachel Henke, Gr. 5 rep, Debbie Fugate, ESE rep; All team representatives provide input to and from their teams. In addition, Cathy Carey and Cassie Meyer facilitate all FBA's while Holly Schultz and Reva Faust facilitate 504's.		
4	3. Describe the systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the school's MTSS and SIP.	Narrative	Standard 2-2.3,2.4: Governan ce and Leadershi
	Ongoing formative and progress monitoring data systems are in place at grade levels and intervention group levels. This data is gathered by team leaders and shared with the MTSS team on a monthly basis. The data is then aggregated across the school and used to monitor the SIP by the Leadership Team.		
5	4. Describe the data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance).	Narrative	Standard 5-5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4: Using Results for Continuou s Improvem
5	Seminole will use the following as our data management systems: DecisionEd's Data Warehouse which includes data from: Elementary Data Solutions (EDS), PMRN, PCS Focus, and FL DOE / PCS FCAT data. In addition, we will use AIMSWEB and a school created progress monitoring program. Data sources include FCAT; SAT 10; FAIR; PCS district common assessments for math, writing, and science; progress monitoring		ent

	data including but not limited to oral reading fluency, DAR and maze.		
4,5	5. Describe the plan to support staff's understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving.	Narrative	Standard 3- 3.11, 3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning Standard 5- 5.3:Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
Τ,Σ	The MTSS Team includes grade level representatives. Part of their responsibility is to share the knowledge they gain through being a part of the team with their grade level. Administrators and other MTSS team members attend all PLC's and data chats to assist teachers in the process. MTSS training / information is a part of all staff meetings and leadership meetings as well.		
	E. Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities		
2	Describe research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum (e.g., lengthening the school day, week, or year; providing before, after, Saturday, or summer school programs; implementing enrichment programs and activities; allowing teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development).	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1, 3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
	The School Enrichment Model is our enrichment program. All students participate in enrichment clusters once a week for a period of 10 or more weeks. In addition, students pursue further research on cluster topics by compacting their curriculum to allow time for more enrichment activities. The Gifted teacher works with teachers and students to accomplish this. Students also have access to accelerated virtual curriculum. A before and after school program provides further opportunities for enrichment as well as targeted math instructional		_

	support. The district also provides a six week summer program, Summer Bridge.		
	F. Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)		
2	1. Identify the names and positions titles of the members of your school-based LLT.	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	Diane Cato, principal; Reva Faust, assistant principal; Cathy Carey, behavior specialist; Jarnae Pope-Oriol, Specialist Team Leader; Jennifer Conde, KG team leader; Cindy Mucerino, First Grade team leader; Kristin Luczaj, Second Grade team leader; Renee Mortellite, Third Grade team leader; Vicki Grimm, Fourth Grade team leader; Rachel Henkel, Fifth Grade team leader; Debbie Fugate, ESE team leader.		
2	2. Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes, roles, functions).	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	The Literacy Leadership Team creates capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:  • Support for text complexity  • Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension  • Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons  • Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students  • Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types  • Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text  • Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)  • Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).		
	The LLT will meet monthly to discuss concerns and initiatives related to the Reading Process. Parent Training will be planned and delivered		

2	3. What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1 thru 3.7: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
	The district and school are implementing the Common Core State Standards across all grade levels. The LLT		8
	will support the implementation of CCSS as well as the implementation of a new reading series. In particular, the LLT will support teachers in the use of close reading of complex text.		
	H. Preschool Transition		
	This section is required for schools with grades K-2.		
	1. Describe strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs, as applicable.	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1 thru 3.7: Teaching and Assessing for
1,2			Learning
	Kindergarten Teachers held an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference. KG teachers will host a Meet the Teacher on the day prior to school opening to help students become familiar with the classroom and campus.		
	Part II: Expected Improvements		
	For each data point below, unless otherwise directed list the current year status (number and percentage) and the target (percentage) for next year. These are schoolwide data, not disaggregated by grade level. FAA and CELLA data shall be considered by schools with 10 or more students taking the assessment.		
	A. Area 1: Reading		

	a) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)		
1	☐ Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	28%		
1	☐ Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	37.6%		
	c) Learning Gains		
1	☐ Students making learning gains(FCAT 2.0 and FAA)	DecisionED/D W FCAT 2.0 only	Assessme nt Matrix
	64%		
1	☐ Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	66%		
	d) Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)		
1	Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	63.2%		
1	Students scoring proficient in reading(students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	23.5%		
1	☐ Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	10.5%		
	e) Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)		

1		Indian, Asian, black, Hispanic, white, English language learners, students isadvantaged) scoring at level 3 or higher on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at level	DecisionED/D W FCAT 2.0 only	Assessme nt Matrix
_	Subgroup FCAT AMO Target	s 2014 AMO Targets		
	All Students: 65% 75%	78		
	Black: 35.3% 51%	56		
	Hispanic: 59% 77%	79		
	White: 68.2% 78%	80		
	SWD: 41.7% 57%	61		
	ED: 55.6% 69%	72		
	Goal 1 to support target(s):	READING, Early Warning Systems	Narrative	
	Increase percentage of all students	scoring proficient in Reading on FCAT from 65% to 78%.		
	Increase percentage of all subgrou	ps scoring proficient in Reading on FCAT by 15% or more.		
	Decrease percentage of students n	ot proficient in reading by third grade by 15%.		
	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal	1:		
	Running Record	Scores		
	Volume of readi	ng		
	Reading Respon	se Journal rubrics		
	FAIR scores			
	Data Indicator(s) -corresponding to SI	P Part II A-J (SIP Targets)	2012-13	2013-14
	1. Improvement on running record scores	as measured by Teachers College running records	Actuals	Targets
	2. Improvement in Responses to Reading	as measured by rubric scores of Reading Response Journals		
	(Note: I am not sure ho collected in that mann	w to provide 12/13 actual percentages for these data indicators as they are not er. Any suggestions?)	# %	# %

3. Improvement in volume of reading as measured by reading logs	#	ŧ	%	#	%
4. Improvement in FAIR scores	#	ł l	%	#	%
Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 1 (reduce or eliminate barriers)					
Action 1- All teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of close reading of complex text.	N	Varrativ	ve		
Action 2- All teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of Jan Richardson Guided Reading Mod	el. N	Varrativ	ve		
Action 3- All intermediate teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of DBQ.	N	Varrativ	ve		
Action 4- Provide time for grade level teachers to learn and plan together to implement the Reading / Writing CCS	S N	Varrativ	ve		
Plan to Implement Action 1: All teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of close reading of complex text.	N	Varrativ	ve		
School based training days in Sept and Oct will be used to provide further training in the techniques of close reading Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe close reading lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence					
implementation. Rubric scores of response journals will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2: All teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of Jan Richardson Guided Reading Model.	N	Varrativ	ve		
Team Leaders will schedule PLC meetings once a month on guided reading and facilitate trainings using DVD's from Guided Reading by Jan Richardson book. PLC's will follow provided agenda. Meeting minutes will be collected as evidence of completion as well as observations of guided reading groups will be conducted by administrators. Administrators will organize schedule of opportunities for teachers to observe guided reading groups in other grallevels during their specials block. Schedule will be given to teachers in September with expectation that a visit will completed by all teachers by December. Record of visits will be evidence of completion. Administrators will provide schedule for classroom coverage so teachers can observe guided reading by teachers at their own grade levels. Records of visits and feedback forms will be evidence. Levels of volume of student reading, classroom assessments and running record levels will provide evidence of effectiveness.	ide I be de a				

	District and an extension of the state of th	Namatina	
	Plan to Implement Action 3: All intermediate teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of DBQ.	Narrative	
	Administration will purchase and supply additional units of DBQ materials aligned to reading modules for grade		
	levels 3 - 5. In PLC's, teachers will share strategies for effective implementation of DBQ (at least one teacher at each		
	grade level attended DBQ training last year). Each grade level will implement a minimum of two DBQ units during the		
	year. Grade levels will plan and implement the units together so that previously trained teachers can support new		
	teachers. PLC meeting minutes will provide evidence of support; administrator walkthroughs will provide evidence		
	of implementation. Student work scored against the DBQ rubric will provide evidence of effectiveness.		
	Plan to Implement Action 4: Provide time for grade level teachers to learn and plan together to implement the Reading / Writing CCSS	Narrative	
	Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators can attend each PLC weekly. Each 12 weeks, TDE's will be provided to each grade level to allow them one day to		
	review data and collaborate and plan reading and writing instruction. Once per six weeks, each grade level will have specials during last period (1:45 – 2:35) with students dismissed from specials, allowing them extra planning time		
	together by combining flex time with the specials time (teacher day = 8:05 - 3:35, planning time 1:45 - 3:35). Effective and common lesson plans will be evidence of grade level planning. Walkthroughs and student work will be evidence of effective implementation of CCSS.		
	B. Area 2: Writing		
	a) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring at or above 3.5	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	54%		
	Goal 2 to support target(s): WRITING	Narrative	
	Increase number of students scoring 3.5 or higher on FCAT by at least 10% from 54% to 64%.		
	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 2:	Narrative DecisionED/D W	
		1	1

Mo	odule writing tasks scored by rubric				
Bi-v	weekly prompted assessments (Gr. 4)				
We	eekly quality checks of writing folders focused on revision and editing skills				
Dat	ta Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		012-13	2013	
1	Improvement on rubric scores of module writing tasks		Actuals	Targ	gets
		#	%	#	%
2	Improvement on prompted assessment rubric scores (grade 4)	#	%	#	%
3	Improvement on quality of writing revision and editing in writing folders	#	%	#	%
Act	tion Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 2 (reduce or eliminate barriers)				
Act	tion 1- All writing teachers will be trained and supported in the use of the CCSS Writing Units of Study.	Narr	ative		
Act	tion 2- Provide time for writing teachers to learn, collaborate and plan together.	Narr	ative		
Act	tion 3- Provide authentic audience for student writers.	Narr	ative		
Pla	nn to Implement Action 1:	Narr	ative		
rev pla	ministration will purchase, supply and support Units of Writing aligned to CCSS. PLC time will be used to read and view unit plans and for grade levels to incorporate Units of Writing plans into their Literacy Workshops. Lesson ans and implemented lessons will be evidence of strategy implementation. Review of writing indicators monthly ll provide evidence of effectiveness.				
Pla	nn to Implement Action 2:	Narr	ative		
rea	C time will be dedicated to collaborating on planning for literacy by grade level teams. Planning will include ading modules and writing units aligned to CCSS. Lesson plans and review of writing indicators will provide idence of implementation and effectiveness.				

	Plan to Implement Action 3:	Narrative	
	Weekly Literacy Lunches will be conducted by administrators and other interested adults for student writers to share their writings and discuss the craft of writing. Feedback and surveys from students will be use as evidence of implementation and effectiveness, as well as improvement on quality checks of writing notebooks.		
	C. Area 3: Mathematics		
	1. Elementary and Middle School Mathematics		
	a) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
1	Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	29.2%		
1	☐ Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	20.2%		
	c) Learning Gains		
1	☐ Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0,EOC, and FAA)	DecisionED/D W FCAT 2.0 only	Assessme nt Matrix
	68%		
1	☐ Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and EOC)	DecisionED/D W FCAT 2.0 only	Assessme nt Matrix
	64%		
	d) Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)		
1	Studentsubgroups (i.e., American Indian, Asian, black, Hispanic, white, English language learners, students with disabilities, and economically disadvantaged) scoring at level 3 or higher on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at level 4 or higher on the FAA	DecisionED/D W FCAT 2.0 only	Assessme nt Matrix

Subgroup FCAT AMO Targets	2014 AMO Targets				
All Students: 48% 62%	66%				
Black: 29.4% 30%	37%				
Hispanic: 48.7% 57%	61%				
White: 51.7% 68%	71%				
SWD: 31.7%% 48%	54%				
ED: 39.3%% 54%	59%				
Goal 3 to support target(s): N	1ATH	Na	arrative		
Increase percentage of students scoring	Level 3 from 29.2% to 37.2%. Level 4 and above from 20.2% to 25.2%.				
Increase percentage of students scoring					
increase percentage of students scoring	proficient from 48% to at least 60%.				
Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 3:		De W	ecisionED/D		
Quality bi-weekly checks of math journals ba	sed on rubric.				
Formative Go Math assessments.					
Grade level classroom assessments.					
Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP I	Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		2012-13	2013	8-14
	,,		Actuals	Targ	gets
1. Improvement in quality of entries in math	journals as measured by rubric.				
		#	%	#	%
2. Improvement in scores on Go Math format	ive assessments.	#	%	#	%
3. Improvement on classroom grade level ass	sessments.	#	%	#	%
Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish G	oal 3 (reduce or eliminate barriers)		1		1
Action 1-		Na	arrative		

Action 2-  All teachers will be trained to implement Go Math effectively.  Action 3-  Grade level teachers will collaborate and plan together.  Plan to Implement Action 1:  Administrators and team leaders will facilitate a school wide book study on Number Talks during PLC's first semester. During this time teachers will practice use of Number Talks lessons. Beginning second semester all teachers will be expected to implement Number Talks daily. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
Action 3- Narrative  Grade level teachers will collaborate and plan together.  Plan to Implement Action 1: Narrative  Administrators and team leaders will facilitate a school wide book study on Number Talks during PLC's first semester. During this time teachers will practice use of Number Talks lessons. Beginning second semester all teachers will be expected to implement Number Talks daily. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2: Narrative  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3: Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	e
Grade level teachers will collaborate and plan together.  Plan to Implement Action 1:  Administrators and team leaders will facilitate a school wide book study on Number Talks during PLC's first semester. During this time teachers will practice use of Number Talks lessons. Beginning second semester all teachers will be expected to implement Number Talks daily. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
Plan to Implement Action 1:  Administrators and team leaders will facilitate a school wide book study on Number Talks during PLC's first semester. During this time teachers will practice use of Number Talks lessons. Beginning second semester all teachers will be expected to implement Number Talks daily. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	e
Administrators and team leaders will facilitate a school wide book study on Number Talks during PLC's first semester. During this time teachers will practice use of Number Talks lessons. Beginning second semester all teachers will be expected to implement Number Talks daily. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
semester. During this time teachers will practice use of Number Talks lessons. Beginning second semester all teachers will be expected to implement Number Talks daily. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Narrative  Narrative will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	e
teachers will be expected to implement Number Talks daily. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
evidence of completion of book study. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
Plan to Implement Action 2:  School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
School based training days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide further training in the use of Go Math and math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
math talk. Sign in sheets and training surveys will be collected as evidence of completion of training. Administrators will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Narrative  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	e
will observe math lessons during walkthroughs to gather data on schoolwide needs for training and evidence of implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
implementation. Go Math formative assessments and math journal entries will be monitored as evidence of effectiveness.  Plan to Implement Action 3:  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
Plan to Implement Action 3:  Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
Administrators will create a master schedule providing common planning time for each grade level. PLC's will be scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	
scheduled weekly during this common planning time or before school. PLC's will be scheduled so that administrators	e
can attend each PLC weekly. Each 12 weeks, TDE's will be provided to each grade level to allow them one day to	
review data and collaborate and plan instruction. Once per six weeks, each grade level will have specials during last	
period (1:45 - 2:35) with students dismissed from specials, allowing them extra planning time together by combining	
flex time with the specials time (teacher day = 8:05 – 3:35, planning time 1:45 – 3:35). Effective and common lesson plans will be evidence of grade level planning. Walkthroughs and student work will be evidence of effective	

	implementation of Math CCSS.		
	D. Area 4: Science		
	1. Elementary and Middle School Science		
	The following data shall be considered by elementary and middle schools.		
	a) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)		Assessme nt Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	34.5%		
1	Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	DecisionED/D W	Assessme nt Matrix
	19.1%		
	E. Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)		
1	# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs)	Narrative	Standard 3-3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
	School wide science fair, field trips to Science Center, Clearwater Marine Aquarium		
	☐ Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students  Not sure what they are asking for here	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1: Teaching and
1			Assessing for Learning

Goal 4 to support target(s): AREA 4 & 5					
SCIENCE / STEM					
Increase percentage of students scoring at Level 3 on Science FCAT from 34.5% to 39%.					
Increase percentage of students scoring at Level 4 or above on Science FCAT from 19.1% to 22.5%.					
Increase percentage of students scoring proficient or better on Science FCAT from 53% to 58%.					
Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 4:		Decisi W	ionED/D		
Quality check of science journals based on rubrics.					
Grade level classroom assessments.					
District Science Common Assessments.					
Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		_	12-13	2013	
1. Improvement in quality of science journal entries as measured by rubric scores.		A	ctuals	Tar	gets
	i	<i>‡</i>	%	#	%
2. Improvement in scores on grade level classroom assessments.	1	#	%	#	%
3. Improvement in scores on District Science Common Assessments.	#	<b>‡</b>	%	#	%
Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 4 (reduce or eliminate barriers)					
Action 1-					
All teachers will be trained to effectively implement the use of a science journal scored by a rubric.					
Action 2-					

All teachers v	will effectively implement district science unit workshops.		
Action 3-			
Students will Science Fair.	be provided more STEM experiences, including a school wide science fair and participation in the District		
Plan to Impl	ement Action 1:		
use of the Sci training). Evi	professional development days in Sept, Oct and April will be used to provide training for teachers in the effective ence Journal (fifth grade teachers attended Science Summit training last year and will provide / share their dence of implementation of the action plan will be effective implementation of journals in classrooms. Evidence ess will be measured by data indicators.	2	
Plan to Impl	ement Action 2:		
	used to provide time for teachers to collaborate and plan Science Units of Study together. Lesson plans will be ollaborative planning. Walkthroughs will provide evidence of implementation and effectiveness will be measured ators.	d	
Plan to Impl	ement Action 3:		
ten weeks in the clusters. I provide an op	Enrichment clusters will be used to increase the number of STEM experiences for students. Clusters will meet for the fall. Teachers will facilitate clusters on topics of student interest. Relevant STEM experiences will be built into addition, PTA will provide schoolwide science programs throughout the school year. A Science Fair will providing the properturity for additional STEM experiences. Walkthoughs will provide evidence of implementation and will be measured by data indicators.		
AREA 6	6 BRADLEY MOU 'S		
	Additional Targets Bradley MOU Targets		
]	Black Student Achievement		
	# Black students scoring Level 3 and above on Reading FCAT - 6 % Black students scoring Level 3 and above on Reading FCAT - 35.3% # Black students scoring Level 3 and above on Math FCAT - 5		

	% Black students scoring Level 3 and above on Math FCAT – 29.49	6			
	Black Student Engagement				
	# Black students receiving referral - 6				
	% Black students receiving referral - 35%				
	# Black Students receiving ISS -3				
	% Black students receiving ISS – 18%				
	# Black students receiving OSS - 4				
	% Black students receiving ISS – 24%				
	Black Advanced Course Work				
	# Black students enrolled in Gifted program - 4				
	% Black students enrolled in Gifted program – 24%				
advanced  In Re In by De	the achievement levels, engagement levels, and participation in a course work for all Black students.  Increase percentage of Black students scoring Level 3 and above in eading by 21%  Increase percentage of Black students scoring Level 3 and above in Math by 8%  Increase percentage of Black students receiving referrals by 20%  Increase percentage of Black students receiving ISS by 10%  Increase percentage of Black students receiving OSS by 14%  Increase percentage of Black students enrolled in Gifted program by 5%				
Po	ossible Data Sources to Measure Goal 5:		Decisio	nED/D	
			W		
	Running Record Scores				
	Reading Response Journal rubrics  RAID				
	• FAIR scores				

Math Journal rubrics				
Go Math assessments				
Monthly discipline records				
Gifted referrals				
Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		2012-13	2013	<b>3-14</b>
	Actuals		Targets	
1. Improvement on running record scores of Black students as measured by Teachers College running records				
	#	%	#	%
2. Improvement on Reading Response and Math Journal rubric scores of Black students	#	%	#	%
	- ,	0/	<u> </u>	0/
3. Improvement on FAIR scores of Black students	#	%	#	%
4. Increase in gifted referrals for Black students			1	
I moreuse in greek referrational places seaucines				
5. Decrease in monthly number of referrals, ISS and OSS for Black students				
	1			
6. Increase in scores on Go Math formative assessments for Black students				
Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 5 (reduce or eliminate barriers)			1	
industrians (strategies) to recomplish domes (readed or commune survivis)				
Action 1-				
Each grade level will create monthly action plans to address Bradley targets for Black students as measured by data	1			
indicators.				
marcator si				
Plan to Implement Action 1:				
Data from indicators will be aggregated monthly or as close to monthly as possible. Data will be disaggregated by	-			
grade levels and subgroups. Data will be shared with grade levels at monthly PLC data chats. Grade levels will discuss				
data and possible actions to improve data for subgroups, specifically black students. Grade levels will write and				
implement a monthly action plan to improve student achievement in each target area.				
implement a mondary action plan to improve station acide tenter in each target area.				
H. Area 7: Early Warning Systems				
110 111 ca / Carly VI arining Of Stellie				

	1. Attendance		
3	☐ Studentstardy 10 percent or more, as defined by district attendance policy	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5-5.2 Using Results for Continuous Improvement
	6% / 42		
3	☐ Students absent 10 percent or more, as defined by district attendance policy	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5-5.2 Using Results for Continuous Improvement
	10% / 46		
	2. Suspension		
3	☐ Students with one or more referrals	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
	52		
	☐ Students with five or more referrals	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme
3	3		nt
3	☐ Students with one or more in school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(b), F.S.	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
	.03% / 21		
3	☐Students with five or more in-school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(b), F.S.	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for

			Continuous Improveme nt
	0		
3	☐ Students with one or more out-of-school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(a), F.S.	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
	.04% / 29		
3	☐ Students with five or more out-of-school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(a), F.S.	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
	0		
3	☐ Students with ten or more in school or out-of-school suspension days	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
3	0		IIt
3	Students referred for alternative school placement	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme
	None		
3	☐ Students expelled	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous

			Improveme
_	None		nt
	3. Retention		
1	☐ Students retained	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
	.02%		
1	Students with one or more course failures on first attempt in core curricula courses, as defined in s. 1003.01(14), F.S. not sure what this is asking for Defining as Students who are not proficient in reading by third grade (i.e. students not proficient on SAT 10 in grades 1/2	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
	% of students = 35% # students = 75		
1	o Students in 3rd grade with one or more course failures on first attempt in core-curricula courses o Students in 6th grade with one or more course failures on first attempt in core-curricula courses o Students in 9th grade with one or more course failures on first attempt in core-curricula courses not sure what this is asking for Defining as %/# students who did not pass third grade	DecisionED/D W	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improveme nt
	# students = 6 % students = .06%		
	Goal 6 to support target(s):		
	AREA 7: EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS		

<ol> <li>Decrease percentage of students absent for instructional time by 5%.</li> <li>Decrease percentage of students not proficient in reading by third grade by 15%.</li> </ol>				
Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 6:	D W	ecisionED/D		
Monthly attendance rates				
Running record levels in grades K - 2				
FAIR scores for grades K - 2				
Quality reading response journal entries				
Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		2012-13		3-14
Decrease in percentage of students absent monthly		Actuals	Tar	gets
	#	%	#	9/6
2. Increase in monthly running record levels for all students in grades K - 2	#	%	#	9/
3. Increase in FAIR scores for all students in grades K - 2	#	%	#	%
4. Improvement in reading response journal entries as measured by rubric for all students in grades K - 2		1		
Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 6 (reduce or eliminate barriers)				
Action 1-				
Social worker and guidance counselor will create program to address needs of students with excessive absences.				
Action 2-				
See Goal 1: Reading for all action steps and plans for implementation to address strategy 1: Decrease percentage of student not proficient in reading by third grade by 15%.	dents			

	Plan to Implement Action 1:		
	Social worker and guidance counselor will identify students with 10% or more absences from instructional time, identify primary reasons for absences, and use this data to create program to address needs of students. Lesson plans and / or other artifacts from program will provide evidence of implementation. Students' absences will be monitored monthly for evidence of effectiveness of program.		
	Plan to Implement Action 2:		
	See Goal 1 plans for implementation.		
	I. Area 8: Parent Involvement		
	Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, Codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).	Narrative	
1	Consider the level of parental involvement at your school (this may include, but is not limited to, number of parent engagement opportunities offered in the school year; average number of parents in attendance at parent engagement opportunities; percent of parents who participated in parent engagement opportunities; percent of students in lowest performing quartile or subgroups not meeting AMOs whose parent(s) participated in one or more parent engagement opportunities).		
•	Number of parent engagement opportunities offered (conferences, trainings, family events, workshops, Open House) 31 plus conferences # of parents who participate in one or more parent engagement opportunities (including conferences) - ~500 % of parents who participate in one or more parent engagement opportunities (including conferences) - ~75% % of students in lowest 25% whose parents participate in one or more parent engagement opportunities - ?? % of parents who sign student agenda on regular basis		
	Goal 7 to support target(s):  AREA 8: PARENT INVOLVEMENT: Increase percentage of families and parents involved in their student's education.		

	<ul> <li>Increase percentage of parents who participate in parent engagement opportunities</li> <li>Increase percentage of parents of students in lowest 25% who participate in parent engagement opportunities</li> </ul>				
Po	ossible Data Sources to Measure Goal 7:	Dec W	cisionED/D		
N	lumber of parents attending conferences disaggregated by student achievement levels				
	umber of parents attending family events (Open House, trainings / workshops, family activities) disaggregated by sudent achievement levels				
Po	ercentage of parents signing student agendas monthly disaggregated by student achievement levels				
1.	Improvement in number of parents attending conferences with teachers as monitored by weekly teacher reports of onferences held.	2012-13 Actuals		2013-14 Targets	
		#	%	#	%
	Improvement in number of parents attending family events as monitored by monthly reports of attendance at events athered from data on sign in sheets.	#	%	#	%
3.	Improvement of percentage of parents signing student agendas as monitored by teacher reports.	#	%	#	%
A	ction Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 7 (reduce or eliminate barriers)				
A	ction 1-				
Co	ommunication to families about family events and importance of family participation will be improved.				
A	ction 2-				
Tì	he school will improve the ease with which parents can attend conferences.	+			

	Plan to Implement Action 1:		
	Connect Ed messages will be delivered by phone and email each weekend. The family community liaison will send out emails as well. The family community liaison will conduct a survey to determine main reasons why parents do not attend events and create an action plan to address the issues.		
	Plan to Implement Action 2:		
	Grade level teams will survey parents to determine barriers to attendance at conferences. Teams will create an action plan to address each barrier.		
	K. Problem-Solving (see attached Problem Solving Worksheets)		
1-5	Based on the targets set for your school in each required Area, engage in a problem-solving process using the following questions. Goals shall specifically address any subgroup not meeting its AMO targets for 2012-2013. The special needs of subgroups not addressed in the AMO report (e.g., migrant, homeless, neglected and delinquent) shall also be considered during this process. Operational data such as climate surveys and classroom walkthroughs may also be used as available and relevant.	Narrative	
1-5	☐ Step 1: Identify goal(s) to help you achieve your targets. Select one or more Areas each goal addresses.	Narrative	
1-5	☐ Step 2: Brainstorm barriers that could prevent the school from achieving each goal.	Narrative	
1-5	☐ Step 3: Prioritize targeted barriers based on alterable elements of curriculum, instruction, environment, and organizational systems (e.g., those which have the most impact on the goal if removed or are immediately actionable).	Narrative	
1-5	☐ Step 4: Brainstorm which resources are available that could be used to address each targeted barrier.	Narrative	

1-5	☐ Step 5: Brainstorm and prioritize strategies that could be used to eliminate orreduce each targeted barrier.	Narrative	
1-3			
1-5	☐ Step 6: Identify action steps (including who, what, where, when) that will need to be taken to implement the identified strategies.	Narrative	
1-5	☐ Step 7: Determine how strategies will be monitored for effectiveness and fidelity of implementation (including who, what, where, when).	Narrative	
1-5	☐ Step 8: Determine how progress towards each goal will be monitored (including who, what, where, when).	Narrative	
	Part III: Professional Development		
	For all professional development identified in Part II as a strategy to eliminate or reduce a barrier to a goal, provide the following information for each activity.	Narrative	
1-5	☐ Related goal	Narrative	
	Reading		
	☐ Topic, focus, and content	Narrative	
	Close Reading CCSS		
	☐ Facilitator or leader	Narrative	
	Outside consultant		
	☐ Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide)	Narrative	
	Schoolwide all teachers		

	☐ Target datesor schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)	Narrative	
	Professional development days in Sept and Oct		
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring	Narrative	
	Walkthroughs, quality of responses in reading journals		
	☐ Person responsible for monitoring	Narrative	
	administrators		
1-5	☐ Related goal	Narrative	
	Reading		
	☐ Topic, focus, and content	Narrative	
	Guided Reading		
	☐ Facilitator or leader	Narrative	
	Team Leaders		
	☐ Participants(e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide)	Narrative	
	PLC		
	☐ Target dates or schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)	Narrative	
	PLC meeting once per month		
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring	Narrative	
	Walkthroughs, running records		
	☐ Person responsible for monitoring	Narrative	
	adminsitrators		
1-5	☐ Related goal	Narrative	

	Reading			
	☐ Topic, focus, and content		Narrative	
	Document Based Questioning (DBQ)			
	Facilitator or leader		Narrative	
	Team leaders / trained team members			
	☐ Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide)		Narrative	
	Grade level teams grades 3 - 5			
	Target dates or schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)		Narrative	
	Ongoing PLC's			
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring		Narrative	
	Walkthroughs, observations, student work, journal responses			
	☐ Person responsible for monitoring		Narrative	
	Administrator			
1-5	☐ Related goal	Narrative		
	Math			
	☐ Topic, focus, and content	Narrative		
	Go Math adoption, formative assessments			
	☐ Facilitator or leader	Narrative		
	Outside consultant			
	☐ Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, gradelevel, schoolwide)	Narrative		
	schoolwide			
		<u>.</u>		l .

	☐ Target dates or schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)	Narrati	ve	
	Professional development days in Sept and Oct			
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring	Narrati	ve	
	Walkthroughs, observations, math journal entries, formative assessment scores			
	☐ Person responsible for monitoring	Narrati	ve	
	administrator			
1-5	☐ Related goal	l	Narrative	
	Math			
	☐ Topic, focus, and content		Narrative	
	Number Talks, book study			
	☐ Facilitator or leader		Narrative	
	Cato			
	☐ Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide)		Narrative	
	Grade level teams (PLC)			
	☐ Target dates or schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)		Narrative	
	PLC time once a month			
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring		Narrative	
	Walkthroughs, observations, math journals			
	☐ Person responsible for monitoring		Narrative	
	administrators			
1-5	☐ Related goal		Narrative	

	Science / STEM		
	☐ Topic, focus, and content	Narrative	
	Enrichment Clusters, how to do them and support for them		
	☐ Facilitator or leader	Narrative	
	Vicki Grimm, Jessica Soto		
	☐ Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide)	Narrative	
	schoolwide		
	☐ Target dates or schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)	Narrative	
	Schoolwide staff meeting monthly		
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring	Narrative	
	Observations, surveys, student feedback		
	☐ Person responsible for monitoring	Narrative	
	Vicki Grimm, Jessica Soto		
1-5	☐ Related goal	Narrative	
	Science / STEM		
	☐ Topic, focus, and content	Narrative	
	How to do a science project / run a science fair		
	☐ Facilitator or leader	Narrative	
	Outside consultant / district		
	☐ Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide)	Narrative	
	schoolwide		
		•	•

	Target dates or schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)	Narrative			
	Professional development day second semester, PLC's				
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring	Narrative			
	Walkthroughs, observations, science journal entries quality				
	☐ Person responsible formonitoring	Narrative			
	administrators				
	Part IV: Coordination and Integration				
4	Describe how federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs will be coordinated and school. Include Title I, Part A; Title I, Part C- Migrant; Title I, Part D; Title II; Title III; Title X- Homeless; Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI); violence prevention programs; nutrinusing programs; Head Start; adult education; CTE; and job training, as applicable to your states.	e VI, Part B; Title rition programs;	Narrative	•	
	Seminole Elementary does not currently receive Title One funding. Our programs are district district funds for extended learning both during the day and before and after school. We accelered Prevention district resources for anti-bullying programs.				
	Part V: Budget				
	Based on the strategies identified during the problem-solving process, create a budget for each activity including:	ch school-funded	Narrative	;	
4	☐ Related goal		Narrative	;	
	Reading				
4	Strategy		Narrative	2)	
	All teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of close reading.				
4	Type of resource (i.e., evidence-based programs or materials, professional development, to other)	echnology, or	Narrative	<b>?</b>	

	Professional development		
4	☐ Description of resources	Narrative	
	Outside consultant		
4	☐ Funding source	Narrative	
	SIP funds		
4	☐ Amount needed	Narrative	
	\$500		
	Based on the strategies identified during the problem-solving process, create a budget for each school-funded activity including:	Narrative	
4	☐ Related goal	Narrative	
	Reading		
4	☐ Strategy	Narrative	
	All intermediate teachers will be trained and supported in the implementation of DBQ.		
4	☐ Typeof resource (i.e., evidence-based programs or materials, professional development, technology, or other)	Narrative	
	Evidence based materials		
4	☐ Description of resources	Narrative	
	DBQ units aligned to CCSS Reading Modules		
4	☐ Funding source	Narrative	
	Internal funds		
4	☐ Amount needed	Narrative	

	\$500			
	Based on the strategies identified during the problem-solving process, create a budget for each school-funded activity including:			
4	☐ Related goal		Narrative	
	Reading, Math			
4	☐ Strategy		Narrative	
	Teachers will plan collaboratively together.			
4	Type of resource (i.e., evidence-based programs or materials, professional development, technolother)	ology, or	Narrative	
	Release time to plan			
4	Description of resources		Narrative	
	TDE's, substitutes			
4	☐ Funding source		Narrative	
	SIP funds			
4	Amount needed		Narrative	
-	\$2500			
	Based on the strategies identified during the problem-solving process, create a budget for each school-funded activity including:	Narrative		
4	☐ Related goal	Narrative		
	Writing			
4	Strategy	Narrative		
-	All teachers will be trained and supported on the Units of Writing aligned to CCSS Literacy modules.			
4	Type of resource (i.e., evidence-based programs or materials, professional development, technology, or other)	Narrative		

	Evidence based program / materials			
4	☐ Description of resources	Narrati	ve	
<del>-</del>	Units of Writing grades K – 5, one set			
4	☐ Funding source Narrat			
	Internal funds			
4	☐ Amount needed	Amount needed Narrati		
	\$1000			
	Based on the strategies identified during the problem-solving process, create a budget for each school-functivity including:	ınded	Narrative	
4	☐ Related goal		Narrative	
	Math			
4	□Strategy		Narrative	
	All teachers will be trained and supported in the use of the new Go Math curriculum and formative assessments.			
4	☐ Type of resource (i.e., evidence-based programs or materials, professional development, technology, or other)		Narrative	
	Professional development			
4	☐ Description of resources		Narrative	
	District trainer			
4	Funding source		Narrative	
]	Internal funds			
4	Amount needed		Narrative	
	\$100			

	Based on the strategies identified during the problem-solving process, create a budget for each school-funded	Narrat	ive	
	activity including:			
4	☐ Related goal	Narrat	ive	
	Math			
4	☐ Strategy	Narrat	ive	
	All teachers will be trained and supported in the use of Number Talks and math talk.			
4	Type of resource (i.e., evidence-based programs or materials, professional development, technology, or other)	Narrat	ive	
	Professional development, evidence based materials			
4	☐ Description of resources	Narrat	ive	
	Copies of Number Talks, Common Core Mathematics in a PLC at Work professional books			
4	☐ Funding source	Narrat	ive	
	Internal funds			
4	☐ Amount needed	Narrat	ive	
	\$500			