

School Improvement Plan SY 2018-19

SAN JOSE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Michael A. Grego, Ed.D. Superintendent Pinellas County Schools

SAN JOSE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 1

Table of Contents

| Continuous Improvement | |
|---|----|
| Goals | 10 |
| Conditions for Learning | 11 |
| A. ELA/Reading Goal | |
| B. Mathematics Goal | |
| C. Science Goal | |
| E. Healthy Schools Goal | |
| G. STEM Goal | |
| Subgroups | 23 |
| A. Bridging the Gap with Equity for All: Black Students | |
| B. ESE (As appropriate, based on school data) | 25 |
| C. ELL (As appropriate, based on school data) | |
| E. Gifted (As appropriate, based on school data) | |
| Family and Community Engagement | 29 |
| SAC Membership | 30 |
| BUDGET / SIP FUNDS | 31 |

Continuous Improvement

A school does not achieve its goals by good fortune or hard work alone, but by prioritizing efforts. Improvements are made by focusing intensely on the priorities, while maintaining high service levels for ongoing work. The School Improvement Plan (SIP) is based on the Plan, Do, Study, Act (PDSA) process, which manages and supports effective and efficient planning, implementation, monitoring, revising, and oversight.

A fully developed SIP places the management of priorities and strategies in the context of planning, accountability and support at the school level. School SIP teams ensure these priorities are supported through:

- clearly defined priorities and strategies that are aligned to district strategic goals,
- oversight throughout the planning, implementation and monitoring process, and
- reflection and adjustment to meet school, department and student needs.

Plans succeed because they are well developed, implemented and monitored, and align with the overall goals and objectives of the school and district. The benefits of a good SIP process include achievement of the desired results that positively impact staff growth and student achievement.



time.

A. Vision and Direction

School Profile

| Principal: | Lisa Br | own | SAC Chair: | Rita Sinn | | | |
|--------------|---------|---|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| School Visio | on | The vision of San Jose Elementary School is 100% student engagement and success 100% of the | | | | | |

| | The mission of San Jose Elementary School is to create a safe learning environment which promotes |
|----------------|---|
| School Mission | academic excellence for college, career and life readiness through the partnership of students, |
| | families, staff and community. |

School Data

| Total School | | | Ethnic Bro | eakdown: | | |
|--------------|---|----|------------|----------|-----|---|
| Enrollment | ollment Asian Black Hispanic Multi-Racial White | | | | | |
| 352 | 8 | 42 | 52 | 21 | 229 | 0 |

| School Crodo | 2018: | 2017: | 2016: | Title I | VEC | |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-----|--|
| School Grade | С | С | С | The | TES | |

| Proficiency | ELA | | Math | | Science | | Social Studies | | Accel. Rate | | Grad Rate | |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|----------------|------|-------------|------|-----------|------|
| - | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Rates | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Proficiency All | 52 | 62 | 55 | 61 | 43 | 75 | | | | | | |
| Learning Gains All | 49 | 46 | 46 | 49 | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Gains L25% | 39 | 29 | 50 | 37 | | | | | | | | |

| | | School Leadership Team | | |
|-------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Position/Role | First Name | Last Name | FT/PT | Years at Current School |
| Principal | Lisa | Brown | FT | 4-10 years |
| Assistant Principal | Angela | Heuman | FT | 4-10 years |
| Kindergarten | Brandi | Brayboy | FT | 4-10 years |
| Grade 1 | Joanne | Nylund | FT | 20+ years |
| Grade 2 | Joanne | Digirolamo | FT | 20+ years |
| Grade 3 | Amy | Barnett | FT | 4-10 years |
| Grade 4 | Karen | Laughlin | FT | 4-10 years |
| Grade 5 | Amanda | Clayton | FT | 4-10 years |
| Specialists | Brenda | Urban | FT | 11-20 years |
| Equity Champion | Deborah | Howells | FT | 1-3 years |
| ESE | Jennifer | Lumm | FT | 11-20 years |
| Restorative Practice | Adam | Brown | FT | 1-3 years |
| Total Instructional Sta | ff: 34 | Total Supp | ort Staff: 22 | |

B. Improvement Priorities

Choose three priorities for improvement ("Big Rocks") that you will focus on for the school year. Following the priority, complete the theory of action statement using your current data and results from your problem solving process.

EXAMPLE THEORY OF ACTION: If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support <u>standards-based</u> instruction, then the <u>percent</u> of <u>all</u> students <u>achieving proficiency</u> will <u>increase</u> from <u>77%</u> to <u>89%</u>.

1. Priority 1: Student-Centered with Rigor

Priority 1 Theory of Action: If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support student-centered with rigor , then the percent of all students achieving proficiency across subject areas will increase from 55% to 65% as measured by the Florida State Assessment.

2. Priority 2: Culturally Relevant Teaching

Priority 2 Theory of Action: If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support culturally relevant teaching , then the percent of all students achieving proficiency across subject areas will increase from 55% to 65% as measured by the Florida State Assessment.

3. Priority 3: Standards-based instruction

Priority 3 Theory of Action: If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support standards-based instruction , then the percent of all students achieving proficiency across subject areas will increase from 55% to 65% as measured by the Florida State Assessment

4. Priority 4: Learning Gains

Priority 4 Theory of Action: If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support standards-based instruction, Culturally Relevant Teaching and Student Centered with Rigor then the percent of all students making learning gains in English Language Arts will increase from 49% to 57% and the percent of the Lowest 25% in English Language Arts making learning gains will increase from 39% to 55% as measured by the Florida State Assessment of English Language Arts and from learning gains will increase from 46% to 55% in mathematics and the percent of the lowest 25% in mathematics and the percent of the Assessment of the Assessment of Mathematics.



C. Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities

School Improvement is best achieved when a school—in its totality—works together to achieve the school's common goals. The table below is the framework for planning how everyone in your school will be an active, engaged participant in the achievement of your school's improvement priorities.

How do you plan to implement and monitor the progress of your improvement priorities as a school-wide system? Complete the table below for each schoolbased team listed, use the blank rows and add rows for additional teams, as needed.

| | School-based Team | Priority Alignment | Why are you doing it? | How are you executing? | Who facilitates? | Who participates? | When does it occur? | Evidence that it is occurring |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| | The teams responsible for implementation and monitoring | Identify the priorities above for which each team is responsible | The problem you are trying to solve | Major actions taken to execute the improvement with fidelity | List the title of who is leading the work of each team | List the titles of those who participate on each team for implementati on and monitoring | State how often you are monitoring | Describe what it looks like and what artifacts are available when this is implemented with fidelity |
| 1. | SBLT (using MTSS Framework) | All Priorities | Monitor instructional implementation of grade-level standards to increase student FSA proficiency | Analyzing Tier 1 data (FSA, MAP) Monitoring Tier 2 data monthly (OPM) Identifying Tier 3 students Fidelity checks for interventions, adjusting as needed | School Counselor | Principal, Assistant Principal, Psychologist, Social Worker, Diagnostician | Weekly on Thursdays | Weekly SBLT notes PLC notes with data analysis Tier 2 OPM graphs Tier 3 PSWs |
| 2. | Tier 3 Problem- solving Team | All Priorities | Effectively implement high- leverage strategies to increase proficiency for Tier 3 students. | Ongoing process monitoring of Tiers 3 students Analyze OPM data Adjust interventions | MTSS coordinator/S chool Counselor | Administrator s, Intervention Specialist, Instructional Staff, ESE Teachers | 6-9 weeks during grade level Professional Learning Communitie s | OPM Data Charts PLC Notes Intervention group lesson plans |



Continuous Improvement

| | School-based Team | Priority | Why | How | Who | Who | When | Evidence |
|----|---|----------------|--|--|------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | Alignment | are you doing it? | are you executing? | facilitates? | participates? | does it occur? | that it is occurring |
| 3. | Equity Team | All Priorities | Provide culturally relevant instructional strategies increasing equity for all students to receive high quality instruction resulting in increased proficiency as measured by the Florida State Assessment. | AVID training participation. Culturally Relevant Teaching training participation. Restorative Practice Training. Collaborative lesson planning and instructional implementation | Equity Champion | Principal, Assistant Principal, IIRP Teacher, Equity Champions, School Counselor | 2 nd and 4 th Tuesdays of the month during PLCs | PLC notes MAP proficiency iObservation data & feedback Lesson plans |
| 4. | Child Study Team | All Priorities | Monitor barriers to students' attendance and tardies | PSW implemented for targeted students Incentive plans for improved attendance and decreased tardies | Assistant Principal | DMT, Social Worker, School Counselor | 1 st and 3 rd Monday monthly | CST notes Attendance data reports Parent letters, phone call documentation Problem Solving Worksheets |
| 5. | Math and Science Instructional Leaders | All Priorities | Monitor instructional implementation of grade-level math and science standards to increase student FSA proficiency in math and science | Professional Development provided by Math and Science Coaches AVID training Collaborative planning | Principal | Assistant Principal, Team Leaders | Monthly Team Leader meetings and 2 nd and 4 th Tuesdays of the month during PLCs | Team Leader Minutes PLC notes Lesson plans aligned to standards, with targets and performance scales, Planned and completed student work requiring practice with |





| | School-based Team | Priority | Why | How | Who | Who | When | Evidence |
|----|-----------------------------|----------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Alignment | are you doing it? | are you executing? | facilitates? | participates? | does it occur? | that it is occurring |
| 6. | Literacy Leadership Team | All Priorities | Monitor instructional implementation of grade-level ELA standards to increase student FSA proficiency in ELA | Professional Development provided by Literacy Coaches AVID training Collaborative planning | Principal | Assistant Principal, Team Leaders | Monthly Team Leader meetings and 2 nd and 4 th Tuesdays of the month during PLCs | complex text and its academic language Team Leader Minutes PLC notes Lesson plans aligned to standards, with targets and performance scales, Planned and completed student work requiring practice with complex text and its |
| 7. | PBIS Team | All Priorities | Monitor implementation of the PBIS plan to increase student engagement in all academic areas | Pre-School staff and student PBIS training Parent information provided to SAC and through newsletters Positive student recognition (SOS) weekly class visits and Hawk News School Store earnings Restorative Practice Training | Behavior Specialist | Principal, Assistant Principal, Grade Level PBIS Representativ es | Monthly PBIS meetings | academic language PBIS data in School Profiles Individual student discipline data from FOCUS Meeting notes. |
| 8. | Family Engagement | All Priorities | Partnering with | Calendar of | Assistant | Principal, | Monthly | • Sign in sheets |
| | Team | | families to | family events | Principal | Community | PTA & SAC | |





| School-based Team | Priority | Why | How | Who | Who | When | Evidence |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| | Alignment | are you doing it? | are you executing? | facilitates? | participates? | does it | that it is occurring |
| | | | | | | occur? | |
| | | strengthen | Parent | | Involvement | meetings | |
| | | relationships | conference night | | Liaison, PTA | and | |
| | | increasing the | | | President, | additional | |
| | | home to school | | | SAC | family | |
| | | connection for | | | Chairperson | engagement | |
| | | increased | | | | events | |
| | | academic | | | | | |
| | | achievement and | | | | | |
| | | grade level | | | | | |
| | | proficiency | | | | | |

Goals

Instructions

Refer to your plan for Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities as a school-wide system (above). In the sections below, identify specific academic goals and strategies that you will implement in support of achieving those priorities.

These goals, strategies and professional development should align directly to the Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities table in the Continuous Improvement section above. The table above describes how you are monitoring the implementation of these strategies, as well as the progress of these goals.

REFLECTION: Review your data, and work though the problem-solving and gap analysis processes.

SMART GOALS: Use the optional Goal Calculator to determine your targets. Write your goals as SMART goals (Specific, Measurable, Actionable, Realistic, and Timely).

STRATEGIES: Identify the high-leverage strategies your school will employ to support improvement. You may choose from the drop-down menu provided by your level content specialists or write your own.

ACTION STEPS: Articulate what your school is doing to implement these strategies and achieve the goals. Identify who is responsible and when is it occurring.

MONITORING: Monitoring should be included in the Monitoring and Achieving table at the beginning of the document.

PROFESSIONAL LEARNING: List the professional learning your staff needs to effectively implement the strategies and progress toward the goal.

Conditions for Learning

Conditions for Learning

A. Climate and Culture

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4-Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance in school-wide behavior is a referral risk per student of 7.4% as reported in School Profiles. We expect our performance level to be a referral risk of 5.8% as reported in School Profiles by May of 2019.
- 2. The problem/gap in behavior performance is occurring because a need for increased culturally responsive teaching strategies.
- **3.** If increased use of culturally responsive teaching strategies would occur, the problem would be reduced by 2.4%, as evidenced by School Profiles data. (*include data to validate your hypothesis*.)
- 4. We will analyze and review our data for effective implementation of our strategies by May 2019.

5. SMART GOAL:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students receiving referrals will decrease from 31% to 25%, as evidenced by restorative practices research from IIRP. (*include data or research to validate your hypothesis*.)

The number of all students receiving referrals will decrease from 25 students to 20 students, as evidenced by School Profiles discipline data.

6. STRATEGIES:

List the specific strategies your school uses to implement a seamless multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) that is focused on an integration of behavioral and academic supports to meet the needs of all students.

A. Strengthen the ability of all staff to establish and maintain positive relationships with all students.

B. Strengthen the implementation of research-based practices that communicate high expectations for each student.

- C. Support the implementation engagement strategies that support the development of social and instructional teaching practices.
- **7. ACTION STEPS:** (Complete the action steps to the needs of your school to meet this goal for each area. District-wide actions have been inserted for ease of completion; adapt and tailor to meet your school needs.)

| Action Steps | WHO WHEN |
|---|--|
| to implement these strategies | is leading each strategy? is it occurring? |
| Attend district-led, two-day team training for Restorative | Principal, Assistant July 9 & 10, 2018 |
| Approaches and Social Emotional Learning. (strategy B) | Principal, Behavior |
| | Specialist, IIRP TOT |
| Ensure at least one staff member attend and becomes a certified | • IIRP TOT, Adam Brown • July 16-18, 2018 |
| Trainer of RP. (strategy B) | |
| Develop school-wide roll-out and development plan of RP/SEL. | Principal, Assistant July 2018 |
| (strategy C) | Principal, Behavior |
| | Specialist, IIRP TOT |
| Conduct learning opportunities that include AVID strategies, | Principal, Assistant Pre-School, PLCs |
| Culturally Responsive Teaching strategies and Restorative Practices | Principal, Behavior on the 2 nd & 4 th |
| techniques. (strategy A) | Specialist, IIRP TOT, Tuesdays of the |
| | AVID Coordinator, month |
| | School Counselor |

| Monitor and support staff for implementation with fidelity. | • | Principal, Assistant | • | Weekly |
|--|---|----------------------|---|-------------------|
| (strategy C) | | Principal | | iObservation data |
| Review student and teacher data on weekly basis for trends and | • | Principal, Assistant | ٠ | SBLT meetings |
| next steps. (strategy B) | | Principal, MTSS Team | | weekly on |
| | | | | Thursdays |
| Update school-wide plan monthly. (Strategy A, B & C) | • | Behavior Specialist | • | Monthly PBS |
| Celebrate areas of growth | | | | meetings |
| Update strategies for areas of improvement | | | | |
| Orient parents to the School Wide Behavior plan and conditions for | • | Behavior Specialist | • | SAC meeting |
| learning. | | | • | School Newsletter |

8. MONITORING:

| These are being | monitored as part of the N | Monitoring and Achieving | Improvement Priorities plan for the selected Improvement |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Priority(ies): | 🛛 Priority 1 | 🛛 Priority 2 | 🖾 Priority 3 |

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Restorative Practice continued implementation | 68 staff members | Priority 1 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| Culturally Relevant Teaching strategies | 35 Instructional Staff | Priority 1 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| AVID strategy implementation | 35 Instructional Staff | 🛛 Priority 1 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 2 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 3 |

B. Conditions for Learning: Attendance

REFLECTION (4-Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current attendance rate is 93.1%. We expect our performance level to be 96% by May 2019.
- 2. The problem/gap in attendance is occurring because of the lack of motivation and/or recognition for the importance of regular attendance and its relationship to school achievement.
- 3. If a recognition/incentive program would occur, the problem would be reduced by 6% as reported in School Profiles.
- 4. We will analyze and review our data for effective implementation of our strategies by May 2019.

5. SMART GOAL:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students missing more than 10% of school will decrease from 31% to 25%, as evidenced by attendance dashboard data.

The percent of all students missing 10% or more days of school will decrease from 17% to 10%, as measured by School Profiles attendance data.

- 6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high-leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support.)
- Strengthen the attendance problem-solving process to address and support the needs of students across all Tiers on an ongoing basis.
- Strengthen the implementation of Tier I interventions to address and support the needs of students.
- Create an incentive plan for students identified by the Child Study Team.
- 7. ACTION STEPS: (Adapt and tailor the action steps to meet the needs of your school to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Review attendance taking process and school-wide strategies for positive attendance with all staff. | Assistant Principal, DMT | August 2018, pre-school |
| Develop and implement attendance incentive programs and competitions. | Assistant Principal | CST meetings, 1 st & 3 rd Mondays monthly |
| Engage students and families in attendance related activities to ensure they are knowledgeable of the data and aware of the importance of attendance. | Assistant Principal | SAC meeting and newsletter |
| Review data and effectiveness of school-wide attendance strategies on a bi- weekly basis. | Child Study Team | CST meetings, 1 st & 3 rd Mondays monthly |
| Implement Tier 2 and 3 plans for student specific needs and review barriers and effectiveness on a bi-weekly basis. | Child Study Team | CST meetings, 1 st & 3 rd Mondays monthly |
| Ensure attendance is accurately taken and recorded on a daily basis and reflects the appropriate entry codes (e.g. Pending entries cleared). | DMT | Daily |

8. MONITORING:

These are being monitored as part of the Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities plan for the selected ImprovementPriority(ies):Improvement Priority 1Improvement Priority 2Improvement Priority 3

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Review attendance taking process and school-wide strategies for | 35 Instructional staff | 🛛 Priority 1 |
| positive attendance with all staff. | | Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| AVID and Equity PD | 35 Instructional staff | Priority 1 |
| | | Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |



A. ELA/Reading Goal

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 52% proficient, as evidenced in Florida State Assessment of English Language Arts.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be 65% proficient by May 2019. We expect ELA gains to be 49% to 57% as well as L25 learning gains to be from 39% to 55%.
- 3. The problem/gap is occurring because the need for increased focus on rigorous standards based instruction.
- 4. If an increased focus on rigorous standards based instruction would occur, the problem would be reduced by 13%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students achieving ELA proficiency will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by FSA.

The percent of all students achieving ELA proficiency will increase from 52% to 65%, as measured by Florida State Assessment of English Language Arts. The percent of all students making learning gains in ELA will increase from 49% to 57% as measured by the Florida State Assessment of English language Arts. The percent of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in ELA will increase from 39% to 55% as measured by the Florida State Assessment of English language Arts.

- 6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high- leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support.) ⊠ Strengthen staff ability to engage students in complex tasks.
- Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the Standards in alignment with district resources.
- Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each student.
- 7. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Foster an environment of cooperation and collaboration amongst | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| students including partner reading, discussions and group writing | | Learning Communities. |
| projects. | | 0 |
| Teachers strengthen core instruction by increasing the amount of | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| time students are engaged in reading by closely and critically | | Learning Communities. |
| rereading complex text, writing, speaking and listening. | | |
| Teachers monitor and provide feedback to students to support | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| learning. | | Learning Communities. |
| Teachers align instruction to meet the Florida State Standards for ELA, | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| district curriculum guidelines by providing multiple opportunities | | Learning Communities. |
| across the literacy block for reading writing, speaking and listening. | | |
| Teachers provide opportunities for explicit vocabulary instruction as | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| well as opportunities for students to determine the meanings of | | Learning Communities. |
| words using the context of text. | | |
| Conduct regular Professional Learning Communities inclusive of data | Administrators, Teachers | Professional Learning |
| chats to review student's responses to tasks and plan instruction | | Communities. |
| based on data. | | |
| Use data to plan instruction that ensures differentiation, intervention | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| and enrichment while scaffolding learning to increase student | | Learning Communities. |
| performance. | | |

Subgroup Goals

4

| Utilize Jan Richardson's Guided Reading Routine (as well as other | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|
| small group methods) to meet the unique needs of students. | | Learning Communities. |
| Use MAP cycle and diagnostic data to inform academic vocabulary | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| gaming and additional learning experiences. | | Learning Communities. |
| Review 3 rd and 4 th grade data in August to plan for 4 th and 5 th grade | Administrators, Teachers | Professional Learning |
| students. | | Communities. |

8. MONITORING: These are being monitored as part of the *Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities* plan for the selected Improvement Priority(ies):

 \boxtimes Priority 1 \boxtimes Priority 2 \boxtimes Priority 3

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Analyzing MAP data & Utilization of Performance Matters | 35 Instructional Staff | Priority 1 |
| | | Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| AVID Strategies and Culturally Relevant Teaching Strategies | 35 Instructional Staff | Priority 1 |
| | | Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| English Language Arts Coaching | 35 Instructional Staff | 🖾 Priority 1 |
| | | Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |



B. Mathematics Goal

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 55% proficient, as evidenced in Florida State Assessment of Math.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be 65% proficient by May 2019.
- 3. The problem/gap is occurring because of the need for increased focus on rigorous standards based instruction.
- 4. If increased focus on rigorous standards based instruction would occur, the problem would be reduced by 10%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students achieving math proficiency will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by FSA.

The percent of all students achieving math proficiency will increase from 55% to 65%, as measured by Florida State Assessment of Mathematics. The percent of all students making learning gains in Mathematics will increase from 46% to 55% as measured by the Florida State Assessment of Mathematics. The percent of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in Math will increase from 50% to 55% as measured by the Florida State Assessment of Students by the Florida State Assessment of Mathematics.

- 6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high-leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support.)
- Strengthen staff ability to engage students in complex tasks.
- Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the Standards in alignment with district resources.
- Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each student.

| Г | | | , , , | | |
|---|--|--|-------|--|--|
| 7 | 7. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.) | | | | |

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Mathematics Teacher Leaders are intentionally developed and | Administrators | Math teacher Leader |
| included in the Mathematics Professional Development plan. | | Institute, August 1 st , |
| | | 2018 |
| Teachers utilize systemic documents to effectively plan for | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| mathematics units that incorporate the Standards for Mathematical | | Learning Communities. |
| Practice and rigorous performance tasks aligned to the Florida | | |
| Mathematics Standards. | | |
| Teachers use various mathematics tools and manipulatives (rulers, | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| number lines, counters, pattern blocks, base ten blocks, etc.) and | | Learning Communities. |
| encourage students to select tools that support making sense of | | |
| problems. | | |
| Teachers plan for the purposeful integration of mathematics tasks | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| into science lessons | | Learning Communities. |
| Conduct regular Professional Learning Communities inclusive of data | Administrators, Teachers | Professional Learning |
| chats to review student responses to tasks and plan for instruction | | Communities. |
| based on data. | | |
| Utilize a variety of modalities when presenting concept and | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| instruction to meet the needs of each student. | | Learning Communities. |
| Use MAP cycle and diagnostic data to inform academic vocabulary | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| gaming and additional learning experiences. | | Learning Communities. |
| Review 3 rd and 4 th grade data in August to plan for 4 th and 5 th grade | Administrators, Teachers | Professional Learning |
| students. | | Communities. |

4 Subgroup Goals

8. MONITORING: These are being monitored as part of the *Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities* plan for the selected Improvement Priority(ies):
 ☑ Priority 1 ☑ Priority 2 ☑ Priority 3

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--|
| Analyzing MAP data & Utilization of Performance Matters | 35 Instructional Staff | ☑ Priority 1☑ Priority 2☑ Priority 3 |
| AVID Strategies & Culturally Relevant Teaching Strategies | 35 Instructional Staff | ☑ Priority 1 ☑ Priority 2 ☑ Priority 3 |
| Math Coach & Math Teacher Leader Institute | 35 Instructional Staff | ☑ Priority 1 ☑ Priority 2 ☑ Priority 3 |



C. Science Goal

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 43% proficient, as evidenced in The Florida Statewide Science Assessment.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be 60% proficient by May 2019.
- 3. The problem/gap is occurring because the need for increased focus on rigorous standards based instruction.
- 4. If increased focus on rigorous standards based instruction would occur, the problem would be reduced by 17%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students achieving science proficiency will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by FSA.

The percent of all students achieving science proficiency will increase from 43% to 60%, as measured by the Florida Statewide Science Assessment.

6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high- leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support.) ⊠ Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the Standards in alignment with district resources.

Strengthen staff ability to engage students in complex tasks.

Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each student.

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Teachers utilize documents to effectively plan for science units that | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| incorporate the 10% setting the purpose, 70% core science, 20% | | Learning Communities. |
| confirming the learning science instructional model and includes | | |
| appropriate grade-level utilization of science labs in alignment with | | |
| the standards. | | |
| Utilize the 5E lessons and Science Learning Activity Guides. | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| | | Learning Communities. |
| Teachers collaborate to select and implement rigorous tasks aligned | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| with each standard. | | Learning Communities. |
| Teachers monitor and provide feedback to students to support | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| learning inclusive of the 'Confirming the learning' portion of the | | Learning Communities. |
| instructional model and student conferencing opportunities. | | |
| Conduct regular Professional Learning Communities inclusive of data | Administrators, Teachers | Professional Learning |
| chats to review student responses to tasks and plan for instruction | | Communities. |
| based on data. | | |
| Use data to plan instruction that ensures differentiation, intervention | Administrators, Teachers | Daily and Professional |
| and enrichment while scaffolding learning to increase student | | Learning Communities. |
| performance. | | |
| Utilize pre- and post- science data for each lab to inform next steps | Science Lab Managers | Monthly |
| and additional supports/enrichment. Five lab days from grade 3-5; | | |
| monitor grade 2 schedule for consistent implementation. | | |
| Review 3 rd and 4 th grade data in August to plan for 4 th and 5 th grade | Administrators, Teachers | Professional Learning |
| students. | | Communities. |

7. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)



8. MONITORING: These are being monitored as part of the *Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities* plan for the selected Improvement Priority(ies):

 \boxtimes Priority 1 \boxtimes Priority 2 \boxtimes Priority 3

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|---|
| Analyzing MAP data & Utilization of Performance Matters | 35 Instructional Staff | Priority 1 |
| | | ☑ Priority 2☑ Priority 3 |
| AVID Strategies & Culturally Relevant Teaching Strategies | 35 Instructional Staff | Priority 1 |
| | | ☑ Priority 2☑ Priority 3 |
| | | |
| | | Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |



E. Healthy Schools Goal

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 0 out of 6 modules in bronze, as evidenced in the Alliance for a Healthier Generation, Healthy Schools Framework.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be 3 out of 6 modules eligible for Bronze by April 2019.
- 3. The problem/gap is occurring because food sold in the cafeteria does not adhere to the smart snack guidelines.
- **4.** If our healthy schools team can monitor the implementation of administrative guidelines for wellness, the problem would have a greater opportunity to be eligible for recognition.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students achieving ELA proficiency will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by FSA.

Our school will be eligible in 3 out of 6 modules for bronze recognition by April 2019 as evidenced by the Alliance for a Healthier Generation's Healthy Schools Framework.

- 6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high-leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support.)
- Choose Strategy
- □ Choose Strategy
- □ Choose Strategy
- 7. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Assemble a Healthy School Team made up of a minimum of four | Assistant Principal | August 2018 |
| individuals including, but not limited to: PE Teacher, Classroom | | |
| Teacher, Wellness Champion, Administrator, Cafeteria Manager, | | |
| Parent and Student. | | |
| Attend district supported professional development. | Healthy Schools Team | August 2018- April |
| | Leader | 2019 |
| Complete Healthy Schools Program Assessment | Healthy Schools Team | August 2018- April |
| | | 2019 |
| Complete the SMART Snacks Schools documentation | Cafeteria Manager | September 2018 |
| Develop and Implement Healthy School Program Action Plan | Healthy Schools Team | October 2018- April |
| | | 2019 |
| Update Healthy Schools Program Assessment and apply for | Healthy Schools team | Complete by April 1, |
| recognition. | | 2019 |

8. MONITORING: These are being monitored as part of the *Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities* plan for the selected Improvement Priority(ies):

 \Box Priority 1 \Box Priority 2 \boxtimes Other



4

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Healthy Schools Component #19545 | Healthy School Team Members | 🖾 Other |
| | | 🗆 Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| Healthy School Team A: Assessment | Healthy School Team Members | 🛛 Other |
| Component #19534 | | 🗆 Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| Healthy School Team Assessment B: Smart Snacks in School | Healthy School Team Members | 🖾 Other |
| Component #19549 | | 🗆 Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| Healthy School Team C: Developing and Implementing Action | Healthy School Team Members | 🖾 Other |
| Plan | | 🗆 Priority 2 |
| Component #20528 | | Priority 3 |
| Healthy School Team D: Celebrations | Healthy School Team Members | 🖾 Other |
| Component #20530 | | 🗆 Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |



G. STEM Goal

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is the participation of eight 5th grade students, as evidenced in STEM Academy Participation.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be Twenty-one 5th grade students participating by May 2019.
- 3. The problem/gap is occurring because the STEM academy was a blended unit of 4th and 5th grade students.
- **4.** If implementation of the districts grade level focus for the STEM academy would occur, the participation of 5th grade students will increase by 38%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students participating in STEM Academy activities will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by school and district participation data.

The number of 5th grade students participating in STEM academy will increase from 8 students to 21 students, as measured by school and district participation data.

6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high- leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support.) ⊠ Enhance staff capacity to support students through purposeful activation and transfer strategies.

- □ Choose Strategy
- □ Choose Strategy

7. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Connect learning to STEM careers and exploration of how their | STEM facilitator | Twice monthly |
| learning can impact their future. | | |
| Allow for collaborative problem-solving opportunities for the | STEM facilitator | Twice monthly |
| engineering design process. | | |
| Utilize brain-based research, neuroscience and culturally relevant | STEM facilitator | Twice monthly |
| instruction when planning and implementing lessons. | | |

8. MONITORING: These are being monitored as part of the *Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities* plan for the selected Improvement Priority(ies):

 \boxtimes Priority 1 \boxtimes Priority 2 \boxtimes Priority 3

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| STEM Academy training | 1, STEM facilitator | ☑ Priority 1 ☑ Priority 2 ☑ Priority 3 |
| STEAM Conference | 1, STEM facilitator | ☑ Priority 1 ☑ Priority 2 ☑ Priority 3 |





Subgroups

A. Bridging the Gap with Equity for All: Black Students

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 40% of black students were proficient, as evidenced in Florida State Assessment of Math.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be increased to 60% of black students will be proficient by May 2019.
- **3.** The problem/gap is occurring because of the need for increased implementation of culturally relevant instructional practices.
- **4.** If increased implementation of culturally relevant instructional practices would occur, the problem would be reduced by 20%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of black students graduating from high school with their cohort will increase from 75% to 83%, as measured by FLDOE end of year graduation file.

The percent of black students increasing proficiency will increase from 40% to 60%, as measured by the Florida State Assessment of Math.

6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high- leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support. Keep in mind that these strategies are specific for black learners and are in addition to the strategies and actions articulated with the content specific goals.)

| BTG Area | Strategies | Expected Impact and Results |
|------------------------|---|--|
| Graduation Rate | Choose Strategy Choose Strategy Choose Strategy | • |
| Student Achievement | Identify and provide additional culturally relevant books, resources and technology to supplement core instruction representing diverse perspectives as a way to increase student engagement. Implement culturally relevant instructional practices in classrooms such as cooperative and small group settings, music and movement, explicit vocabulary instruction, monitoring with feedback and deliberate use of cultural references in lesson plans. Support teachers by providing professional development on building relationships and sharing student data with black families. | Increased student engagement and increased learning gains. |
| Advanced Coursework | Implement universal screening for gifted identification to expand the number of black students served within the talent development groups or identified as gifted learners. Choose Strategy Choose Strategy | Increased identification of Black students for gifted. |
| Student Discipline | Implement Restorative Practices throughout the school. Conduct monthly discipline disparity/restorative practices training with school administrators. Provide training for strategies on Social Emotional Learning (SEL) and programs to help students develop specific social and emotional competencies. | Increased student engagement |

Subgroup Goals

4

| ESE Identification | Utilize supports from district office to ensure interventions are in place and being implemented for black students who receive consent for evaluation. Choose Strategy Choose Strategy | • | Decreased identification of Black students in need of ESE services. |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| Minority Hiring | Utilize supports from district office to support the shifting of mindset and implementing strategies that recognize unconscious bias, equity and excellence and cultural responsiveness. Choose Strategy Choose Strategy | • | Increased participation in Excellence in Equity Training. |

^{7.} MONITORING: These are being monitored as part of Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities plan for the selected Improvement Priority(ies): ⊠ Priority 1 ⊠ Priority 2 ⊠ Priority 3

8. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Implementation of the Six M's of Culturally Relevant Instruction: | Administrators, IIRP, | Staff meetings and |
| Meaning, Models, Monitoring, Mouth, Movement and Music | Equity Champions | Professional Learning |
| | | Communities |
| Affirming and teaching to assets and interests through oral language | Administrators, IIRP, | Staff meetings and |
| and vocabulary development, movement, music, voice and choice, | Equity Champions | Professional Learning |
| and use of diverse reading materials. | | Communities |
| Fostering positive relationships through structures and strategies | Administrators, IIRP, | Staff meetings and |
| such as class meetings, cooperative learning and restorative practices. | Equity Champions | Professional Learning |
| | | Communities |

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| AVID strategies | (37) Administrators and Instructional Staff | Priority 1 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 2 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 3 |
| Restorative Practices | (37) Administrators and Instructional Staff | 🛛 Priority 1 |
| | | Priority 2 |
| | | Priority 3 |
| Culturally Relevant Strategies with Equity Training | (37) Administrators and Instructional Staff | 🛛 Priority 1 |
| · · · · · · · · | | Priority 2 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 3 |

Subgroup Goals

B. ESE (As appropriate, based on school data)

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 35% proficient, as evidenced in Florida State Assessment of English Language Arts.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be 50% proficient by May 2019.
- **3.** The problem/gap is occurring because of a need for increased implementation of high-leverage strategies which support standards based instruction.
- 4. If increased implementation of high-leverage strategies supporting standards based instruction would occur, the problem would be reduced by 15%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of ESE students achieving ELA proficiency will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by FSA.

The percent of ESE students making learning gains will increase from 35% proficient to 50% proficient, as measured by as measured by the Florida State Assessment of Reading.

6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high- leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support. Keep in mind that these strategies are specific for ESE learners and are in addition to the strategies and actions articulated with the content specific goals.)

Students requiring ESE services work towards mastery of meaningful Individualized Education Plan (IEP) goals while learning the foundational skills they need to engage in rigorous, grade-level content in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE).

□ Choose Strategy

□ Choose Strategy

1. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Make rigorous text, materials, content and activities accessible to | Administrators and | Daily and during |
| students through supplementary aids. | Exceptional Education | Professional Learning |
| | Teachers | Communities |
| Use evidence-based practices for students with disabilities to teach | Administrators and | Daily and during |
| foundational literacy and math skills as a pathway to grade level | Exceptional Education | Professional Learning |
| work. | Teachers | Communities |

2. MONITORING:

| These are being | monitored as part of Mor | nitoring and Achieving Imp | provement Priorities plan for the selected Improvement |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Priority(ies): | 🛛 Priority 1 | 🛛 Priority 2 | 🖾 Priority 3 |

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------|
| ESE English Language Arts academic/curriculum/instructional | 5 Exceptional Education | 🖾 Priority 1 |
| support | Teachers | 🛛 Priority 2 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 3 |



C. ELL (As appropriate, based on school data)

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW: ACCESS 2.0 language proficiency for students who have recently arrived and long-term English learners.

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 56%, as evidenced in Florida State Assessment of English Language Arts.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be 65% by May 2019.
- **3.** The problem/gap is occurring because of a need for increased implementation of culturally relevant instructional practices.
- **4.** If increased implementation of culturally relevant instructional practices would occur, the problem would be reduced by 9%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of ELL students achieving ELA proficiency will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by FSA.

The percent of ELL students achieving ELA proficiency will increase from 56% proficient to 65% proficient, as measured by Florida State Assessment of English Language Arts.

- **6. STRATEGIES:** (Choose, or enter, the number of high-leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support. Keep in mind that these strategies are specific for English learners and are in addition to the strategies and actions articulated with the content specific goals.)
- Support staff to utilize data to organize students to interact with content in manners which differentiates/scaffolds instruction to meet the needs of each student.
- □ Choose Strategy

7. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Review school based data in a disaggregated manner and | Administrators and | Daily and during |
| thoughtfully plan for remediation and enrichment strategies. | Instructional staff | Professional Learning |
| | | Communities |
| Explicitly teach, develop, and model high level English language and | Instructional Staff | Daily and during |
| content specific vocabulary throughout the school day by all staff. | | Professional Learning |
| | | Communities |

8. MONITORING:

| These are being | monitored as part of Mor | nitoring and Achieving Imp | provement Priorities plan for the selected Improvement |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Priority(ies): | 🛛 Priority 1 | 🛛 Priority 2 | 🛛 Priority 3 |

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Culturally Relevant Strategies with Equity Training | (37) administrators and | 🛛 Priority 1 |
| | Instructional Staff | 🛛 Priority 2 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 3 |



4

| Small Group instruction including Jan Richardson Guided Reading professional development. | Bi-Lingual Assistant | ☑ Priority 1 ☑ Priority 2 ☑ Priority |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| AVID strategies | (37) administrators and | 🛛 Priority 1 |
| | Instructional Staff | 🛛 Priority 2 |
| | | 🛛 Priority 3 |

Subgroup Goals

E. Gifted (As appropriate, based on school data)

DATA SOURCES TO REVIEW:

REFLECTION (4 Step Problem-Solving):

- 1. Our current level of performance is 53% of the Gifted students made learning gains, as evidenced in Florida State Assessment of Mathematics.
- 2. We expect our performance level to be 60% of the Gifted students make learning gains by May 2019.
- **3.** The problem/gap is occurring because the need for increased focus on rigorous standards based instruction.
- 4. If an increased focus on rigorous standard based instruction would occur, the problem would be reduced by 7%.

5. SMART GOALS:

EXAMPLE: The percent of all students achieving ELA proficiency will increase from 77% to 89%, as measured by FSA.

The percent of gifted students making learning gains will increase from 53% to 60%, as measured by the Florida State Assessment of Mathematics.

- 6. STRATEGIES: (Choose, or enter, the number of high- leverage strategies that your school is action planning to support. Keep in mind that these strategies are specific for gifted/talented learners and are in addition to the strategies and actions articulated with the content specific goals.)
- Strengthen staff ability to engage students in complex tasks.
- Enhance staff capacity to identify critical content from the Standards in alignment with district resources.

□ Choose Strategy

7. ACTION STEPS: (Add as many rows as needed to thoroughly outline the steps to meet this goal.)

| WHAT | WHO | WHEN |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| are you doing to implement these strategies? | is leading this step? | is it occurring? |
| Utilize brain based research, neuroscience and culturally relevant | Gifted teacher, Classroom | Daily and during |
| instruction when planning and implementing lessons. | teachers and | Professional Learning |
| | administrators | Communities |
| Implementation of rigorous tasks aligned with each standard, | Daily and during | Daily and during |
| including Rich Mathematical Tasks from the curriculum guide | Professional Learning | Professional Learning |
| | Communities | Communities |

8. MONITORING:

| These are being | monitored as part of Mor | nitoring and Achieving Imp | provement Priorities plan for the selected Improvement |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Priority(ies): | 🛛 Priority 1 | 🛛 Priority 2 | 🛛 Priority 3 |

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Strategies from the Math Teacher Leader Institute | (37) Administrators and | 🖾 Priority 1 |
| | Instructional Staff | 🖾 Priority 2 |
| | | 🖾 Priority 3 |
| AVID Strategies | (37) Administrators and | 🖾 Priority 1 |
| | Instructional Staff | 🖾 Priority 2 |
| | | 🖾 Priority 3 |

Family and Community Engagement

STRATEGIES AND ACTION STEPS:

List the specific strategies your school uses to build relationships with families and the community.

| | Engagement Strategy Area | Specific Actions | WHO | WHEN |
|----|---|--|--|------------------|
| | | to implement these strategies | is leading each strategy? | is it occurring? |
| 1. | Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. | Conduct data chats with families to discuss student progress- FSA, MAP scores and Grade-Level standards Utilize social media, School Messenger and school website to increase communication. Family meetings to communicate school processes and procedures. | Administrators, Community Involvement Liaison, PTA/SAC Chairperson | • Monthly |
| 2. | Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home. | Family engagement efforts that are results oriented/linked to learning Provide families with academic tools and resources on a regular basis | Administrators, teacher leaders and Community Involvement Liaison Title 1 Family Engagement Specialist | Monthly |
| 3. | Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. | Provide families with opportunities to attend workshops and organizations that promote parent advocacy. Utilize student services to provide families with resources, tools and outside agency referrals | School Counselor, Social Worker, Community Involvement Liaison Title 1 Family Engagement Specialist | Quarterly |
| 4. | Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. | Develop and implement activities to build respect and trust between home and school. Increase positive interaction with families on a regular basis. | All school staff | • Daily |

5. MONITORING:

These are being monitored as part of the Monitoring and Achieving Improvement Priorities plan for the selected ImprovementPriority(ies):ImprovementImpro

6. PROFESSIONAL LEARNING:

| Professional Learning Description | Participants (number and job titles) | Priority Alignment |
|--|--|--|
| Family Engagement Town Hall | Administrators, PTA and SAC Chair, Title 1 Family Engagement Specialist | ☑ Priority 1 ☑ Priority 2 ☑ Priority 3 |
| SAC/PTA meetings | Administrators and Staff Representatives | □ Priority 1 ⊠ Priority 2 ⊠ Priority 3 |
| Family Friendly Schools, Collaborating for Success: High Impact Classroom Family Engagement, Dual Capacity Family Engagement Team Training | Family and Community Liaison and/or staff members | □ Priority 1 ⊠ Priority 2 ⊠ Priority 3 |

5

SAC Membership

| First Name | Last Name | Race | Stakeholder Group |
|------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| Rita | Sinn | White | Parent |
| Brandi | Brayboy | White | Teacher |
| Alicia | McGee | White | Parent |
| Brenda | Urban | White | Teacher |
| Martha | Gibson | Hispanic | Support Employee |
| Dana | Santori | White | Parent |
| Amanda | Proudfoot | Black | Parent |
| Sean | Sullivan | White | Business/Community |
| Lisa | Brown | White | Principal |
| | | Select | Choose an item. |
| | | Select | Choose an item. |
| | | Select | Choose an item. |
| | | Select | Choose an item. |
| | | Select | Choose an item. |

SAC COMPLIANCE

Is your school in compliance with Section 1001.452, F.S. regarding the make-up and duties of SAC?

 \Box Yes \Box No, the steps being taken to meet compliance are (describe below):

Did your school SAC committee review, provide feedback and formally vote to approve your School Improvement Plan? Yes, Committee Approval Date: 8/16/2018 No

BUDGET / SIP FUNDS

6

Describe the projected use of school improvement funds and include the amount allocated to each resource. *Budgeted amounts are approximations.*

| Budget Categories | | | Amount | | | |
|-------------------|--|----|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Academic Support | \$ | [Insert amount for category] | | | |
| | [Sunshine State Readers] | | \$276.00 | | | |
| | [Describe each support on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| 2. | Behavioral Support | \$ | [Insert amount for category] | | | |
| | [Behavioral Incentives] | | [\$200.00] | | | |
| | [Describe each support on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| 3. | Materials and Supplies | \$ | [Insert amount for category] | | | |
| | [Insert materials on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| | [Insert materials on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| 4. | Employee Expenses (i.e., travel, registration fees, etc.) | \$ | [Insert amount for category] | | | |
| | [AVID Training] | | \$775.00 | | | |
| | [Describe each type on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| 5. | Professional Learning and Training (not employee expenses. Categories could include TDE, stipends, etc.) | \$ | [Insert amount for category] | | | |
| | [TDEs for data analysis] | | \$500.00 | | | |
| | [Describe categories on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| 6. | Other (please list below) | \$ | [Insert amount for category] | | | |
| | [Describe each on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| | [Describe each on a separate row] | | [Insert Amount] | | | |
| TO | TOTAL \$ [\$1751.00] | | | | | |