**Pinellas County Schools** 

# Orange Grove Elementary School



2019-20 School Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	7
Planning for Improvement	12
Title I Requirements	21
Budget to Support Goals	21

# **Orange Grove Elementary School**

10300 65TH AVE, Seminole, FL 33772

http://www.orangegrove-es.pinellas.k12.fl.us

Start Date for this Principal: 6/25/2019

## **Demographics**

Principal: Christine Porter D

<b>2018-19 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School KG-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	44%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	Economically Disadvantaged Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Students With Disabilities White Students
School Grade	2018-19: A
	2017-18: A
	2016-17: B
School Grades History	2015-16: B
,	2014-15: A
	2013-14: C
2018-19 Differentiated Accountabil	ity (DA) Information*
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	<u>Tracy Webley</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N
Year	А
ESSA Status	N/A

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <u>click</u> <u>here</u>.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Pinellas County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Last Modified: 8/20/2019 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 22

## **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement

Establish leadership and a love of learning.

#### Provide the school's vision statement

100% Student Success

#### **School Leadership Team**

#### **Membership**

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Title
Principal
Other
Teacher, K-12
Teacher, ESE
Teacher, ESE
Guidance Counselor
Psychologist
Teacher, K-12

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Gr	ade	Le	eve	el .					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Number of students enrolled	32	47	63	72	52	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	320
Attendance below 90 percent	0	5	2	5	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

22

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 6/18/2019

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA or Math		
Level 1 on statewide assessment		

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	<b>Grade Level</b>	Total
-----------	--------------------	-------

Students with two or more indicators

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	IOLAI
Attendance below 90 percent	5	3	8	4	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	7	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gra	ade	e L	ev	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	76%	54%	57%	71%	50%	56%
ELA Learning Gains	68%	59%	58%	53%	47%	55%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	62%	54%	53%	34%	40%	48%
Math Achievement	86%	61%	63%	85%	61%	62%
Math Learning Gains	68%	61%	62%	68%	56%	59%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	61%	48%	51%	53%	42%	47%
Science Achievement	74%	53%	53%	78%	57%	55%

## **EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey**

Indicator Grade Level (prior year reported)												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Total					
Number of students enrolled	32 (0)	47 (0)	63 (0)	72 (0)	52 (0)	54 (0)	320 (0)					
Attendance below 90 percent	0 ()	5 ()	2 ()	5 ()	2 ()	4 ()	18 (0)					
One or more suspensions	0 ()	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)					
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 ()	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	2 (0)	3 (0)					
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 ()	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (0)	3 (0)	10 (0)					

#### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA				
Grade	Year	School	District	ict District State St		School- State Comparison	
03	2019	71%	56%	15%	58%	13%	
	2018	69%	12%				
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison						
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison						
04	2019	85%	56%	6% 29% 58% 27%			
	2018	72%	51%	21%	56%	16%	
Same Grade C	omparison	13%					
Cohort Com	parison	16%					
05	2019	64%	64% 54% 10%		56%	8%	
	2018	67%	50%	17%	55%	12%	
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison						
Cohort Com	parison	-8%					

			MATH				
Grade	Year	School	District	strict District State S		School- State Comparison	
03	2019	84%	62%	22%	62%	22%	
	2018	91%	62%	29%	62%	29%	
Same Grade Comparison		-7%					
Cohort Comparison							
04	2019	93%	64%	64% 29% 64% 29%			
	2018	87%	62%	25%	62%	25%	
Same Grade Co	omparison	6%					
Cohort Com	parison	2%					
05	2019	78%	60%	18%	60%	18%	
	2018	72%	61%	11%	61%	11%	
Same Grade Co	Same Grade Comparison						
Cohort Com	parison	-9%					

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	71%	54%	17%	53%	18%
	2018		57%	13%	55%	15%
Same Grade Comparison		1%			•	
Cohort Comparison						

Subgroup [	Data										
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	40	59	45	62	56	40					
HSP	95	69		91	44		73				

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
MUL	69	54		94	92						
WHT	74	70	57	84	69	55	70				
FRL	67	69	61	81	67	59	69				

	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	30	41	18	46	39	38					
HSP	86	53		91	87						
MUL	83			92							
WHT	69	50	33	84	66	52	78				
FRL	69	55	43	81	68	57	70				

#### **ESSA Data**

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index - All Students	71
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	495
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	100%

## **Subgroup Data**

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	50
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0

Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	74
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	77
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	68
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
	68
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	00

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

# Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends

Our lowest level of performance is Learning Gains among our L25 students in ELA and Math. We made significant increases in learning gains overall (71% to 76% in ELA; 34% to 62% in ELA L25; 68% to 68% in Math; and 53% to 61% in Math L25), however, the goal continues to be learning gains for ALL students.

ELA: Contributing factors include lack of consistency with student-centered instruction and standards-based instruction with rigor. We need to increase time on task reading grade-level text, engaging in discussion, and writing with feedback. We need more emphasis on foundational skills, with high-quality feedback and opportunities to use that feedback. We also need to consistently assess (formally and informally) and analyze data in PLCs to inform instruction in whole group, small group, as well as one-to-one instruction.

Math: Contributing factors include lack of consistency with student-centered instruction and standards-based instruction with rigor. We need to consistently use Purposeful Questions, Number Routines and multiple forms of assessment to inform instruction, including Unit Assessments, Exit Tickets, MFAS, and Illustrative Mathematics tasks, and/ or "in the moment" student work analysis. We will also use student work to guide the analysis of student learning in grade-level PLCs.

# Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline

Our 5th-grade cohort data show a decline of 8% in ELA and 9% in Math from the previous year. The 5th-grade cohort data from 2017-18 also showed a significant decline of 13% in ELA and 15% in Math. The team planned collaboratively with the standards in mind. However, the tasks need to be more aligned with the complexity of the standard. Biweekly assessments were also not utilized consistently as a means to drive instruction.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends

OGE consistently scores above the state average in ELA and Math. However, 5th grade has the largest gap when compared to the state average when compared to 3rd and 4th grade. Consistent standards-based instruction and levels of complexity contributed to this gap.

ELA: 5th grade was 8% over in 2019 and 12% over in 2018. ELA: 4th grade was 27% over in 2019 and 16% over in 2018.

ELA: 3rd grade was 13% over in 2019 and 12% over in 2018.

Last Modified: 8/20/2019 https://www.floridacims.org Page 11 of 22

Math: 5th grade was 18% over in 2019 and 11% over in 2018. Math; 4th grade was 29% over in 2019 and 25% over in 2018. Math: 3rd grade was 22% over in 2019 and 29% over in 2018.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

We showed the most improvement in learning gains in ELA and Math in all four categories. We placed a major focus on goal setting with every student. We utilized the learning continuum to connect the goals to standards and every child had an action plan to achieve their goals. Plans were routinely monitored and discussed with students. We were also more consistent including students and parents in data chats. The fourth-grade team

placed a big focus on JRGR and also utilized Literacy Footprints.

**ELA Learning Gains:** 

2019 68%

2018 53%

2017 60%

ELA Learning Gains L25:

2019 62%

2018 34%

2017 36%

Math Learning Gains:

2019 68%

2018 68%

2017 58%

Math Learning Gains L25:

2019 61%

2018 53%

2017 36%

# Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

18 students with Attendance below 90%

10 Level 1 students

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year

1. Increase ELA Learning Gains for all students, including L25, by increasing school-wide focus on aligning

the level of rigor to standard-based instruction, as it relates to instructional delivery, tasks, and

assessments.

- 2. Increase Math Learning Gains for all students, including L25, by increasing school-wide focus on aligning the level of rigor to standard-based instruction, as it relates to instructional delivery, tasks, and assessments.
- 3. Implement AVID strategies schoolwide that move the classroom learning environment from teacher-centered to student-centered with rigor.

## **Part III: Planning for Improvement**

#### Areas of Focus:

#### #1

#### Title

#### ELA

#### **Rationale**

Our current level of performance is 76%, as evidenced by 2018-19 FSA. We expect our performance level to increase by a minimum of 5%. The problem/gap is occurring because learning gains are not showing adequate progress.

# State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve

The percent of all students performing at or above grade level will increase from 76% to 81%, as measured by 2019-2020 FSA. The percent of all students making learning gains will increase from 68% to 78% and the L25 students will increase from 62% to 72% as measured by 2019-2020 FSA.

#### Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)

Prioritize engaging students in immense amounts of reading, discussion, and writing with feedback. The most

#### Evidencebased Strategy

important component of the literacy block is ensuring ample time is given to students to read and write appropriate, grade-level text & apply foundational skills, with high-quality feedback and opportunities to use that feedback.

Regularly assess (formally and informally) and analyze data in PLCs to inform instruction in whole group, small group, as well as one-to-one instruction.

#### Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

Our current level of performance is 76%, as evidenced in FSA. We expect our performance level to increase by a minimum of 5%. The problem/gap is occurring because learning gains are not showing adequate progress.

#### **Action Step**

- 1. Regularly assess (formally and informally) and utilize data to modify and adjust instruction
- 2. Utilize Jan Richardson's Guided Reading Routine (as well as other small group methods) to meet the unique needs of students
- 3. Design lessons on a trajectory of difficulty with multiple checkpoints and critical questions to find out what students know and then adapt instruction to meet students' needs.

## Description

- 4. Conduct regular PLCs inclusive of "data chats" to review student responses to tasks and plan for instruction based on data.
- 5. Administrator monitors teacher practice and provide feedback to support teacher growth.
- 6. Teachers intentionally plan instruction aligned with a high level of rigor by using Marzano's Taxonomy and adjust instruction through the use of talk, task, text and student needs.
- 7. Provide multiple opportunities for students to grapple with vocabulary across the literacy block (reading, writing, speaking and listening).

#### Person Responsible

Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)

Math
Our current level of performance is 86% as evidenced in 2018-19 FSA. We expect our performance level to increase by a minimum of 5%. The problem/gap is occurring because learning gains are not showing adequate progress.
The percent of all students performing at or above grade level will increase from 86% to 91%, as measured by 2019-20 Math FSA. The percent of all students making learning gains will increase from 68% to 78% and the L25 students will increase from 61% to 71% as measured by 2019-20 FSA.
Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)
Ensure that rigorous, student-centered instruction occurs daily through the exceptional use of Ready Classroom Mathematics, Dreambox Learning, and Number Routines. Support this work through curriculum meetings, PLCs, feedback, and/or the use of classroom video. Utilize multiple forms of assessment to inform instruction, including Unit Assessments, Exit Tickets, MFAS and Illustrative Mathematics tasks, and/or "in the moment" student work analysis. Use student work to guide analysis of student learning in grade level PLCs.
Our current level of performance is 86% as evidenced in 2019 FSA. We expect our performance level to increase by a minimum of 5%. The problem/gap is occurring because learning gains are not showing adequate progress.
1. Teachers collaborate to collect and implement rigorous tasks aligned to each standard, including Mathematics Formative Assessment System (MFAS), Unify Unit Assessments, Rich Mathematical Tasks, and iReady curriculum resources from the Curriculum Guides.  2. Teachers monitor and provide feedback to students to support learning. Grade level teams analyze data by grade, class and students and adjust instruction as needed.  3. Teachers use various mathematics tools and manipulatives (rulers, number lines, counters, pattern blocks, base ten blocks, etc) and encourage students to select tools that support making sense of problems.  4. Standards Based Collaborative Planning with an emphasis on unpacking standards, identifying learning targets, aligning tasks /assessments/resources.
[no one identified]
THE SECTION OF THE CONTRACT OF

#### #3 Title Science Our current level of performance is 74% as evidenced in the 2019 SSA. We expect our performance level to be 84% by the 2020 SSA. The problem/gap Rationale is occurring because previous grade level standards are not transferring to the 5th grade. State the measureable The percent of all students proficient in Science will increase from 74% to outcome the 84%, as measured by 2019-20 SSA. school plans to achieve **Person** responsible Jennifer Raffaele Shillibeer (raffaeleje@pcsb.org) for monitoring outcome Develop, implement and monitor a data-driven 5th grade standards review **Evidence**plan using the 3rd and 4th Grade Diagnostic Assessment. based Monitor for consistent, effective instruction that promotes student-centered Strategy with rigor for all science labs grades 1-5. Rationale for Our current level of performance is 74% as evidenced in the 2019 SSA. We Evidenceexpect our performance level to be 84% by the 2020 SSA. The problem/gap based is occurring because previous grade level standards are not transferring to the 5th grade. Strategy Action Step 1. At all grade levels, regularly assess (formally and informally) and utilize data to modify and adjust instruction. 2. Use data to plan instruction that ensures differentiation, intervention and enrichment while scaffolding learning to increase student performance. 3. Teachers utilize systemic documents to effectively plan for science units that incorporate the 10-70-20 science instructional model (10% setting the purpose, 70% core science, 20% confirming the learning) and includes appropriate grade-level utilization of science labs in alignment with the Standards. Description 4. Develop, implement and monitor science lab schedule for all students in grades 2-5. 5. Conduct and implement a 5th grade standards review plan based on data from the 3rd and 4th grade Review Diagnostic Assessment. 6. Develop (by September) and implement an instructional review routine of ongoing support in 3rd and 4th grade standards. 7. Utilize diagnostic data to identify instructional resources to support the ongoing review and expansion of learning with an emphasis on informational text and academic vocabulary.

Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)

Person

Responsible

#4				
Title	Bridging the Gap			
Rationale	Our current level of performance for Multiracial students in grades 3-5 is 69% in ELA, 54% in ELA Learning Gains, 94% in Math, and 92% in Math Learning Gains. 100% of our Black students were proficient in 2018-19 as identified by FSA and MAP data.			
State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve	The percent of Multiracial students achieving proficiency will increase to 79% in ELA and 95% in Math. 100% of black students in grades 3-5 will be proficient on FSA and make annual learning gains in ELA and Math.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)			
Evidence-based Strategy	<ol> <li>Evidence-based strategies will include growing our group of equity champions, continued implementation of Restorative Practices, PBIS, and Culturally Relevant Teaching with a focus on SEL (Social Emotional Learning).</li> <li>*This includes cooperative and small group settings, music and movement, explicit vocabulary instruction, monitoring with feedback and deliberate use of cultural references in lesson plans.</li> <li>Implement universal screening for gifted identification to expand the number of black students served within the talent development groups or identified as gifted learners.</li> </ol>			
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	The problem/gap is occurring because of the need for increased professional development in CRT practices. If culturally relevant teaching would occur, the percent of Multiracial students achieving proficiency will increase to 79% in ELA and 95% in Math and 100% of black students will be proficient in ELA and Math.			
Action Step				
Description	<ol> <li>Teachers will participate and implement strategies from Restorative Practices.</li> <li>Multiracial students will be academically monitored at SBLT and PLC's.</li> <li>All multiracial students will be involved in an extended day activity (IE Extended Learning, Enrichment, STEM, etc.)</li> </ol>			
Person Responsible	Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)			

#5				
Title	Conditions for Learning			
Rationale	Our current level of performance in school-wide behavior is 3 referrals (1 student) and 10 incident reports in the 2018-19 SY.			
State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve	We plan to have 0 behavior referrals in 2019-20.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)			
Evidence-based Strategy	Evidence-based strategies will include growing our group of equity champions, continued implementation of Restorative Practices, PBIS, and Culturally Relevant Teaching with a focus on SEL (Social Emotional Learning).			
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	If on-going implementation of Restorative Practices, SEL, and Culturally Relevant Teaching practices occur, school-wide behaviors will reduce. We will analyze and review our data for effective implementation of our strategies at SBLT meetings, PLC's, and Leadership Team meetings.			
Action Step				
Description	<ol> <li>Pre-School: Revisit core values and guidelines for sucess.</li> <li>Pre-School: Equity training (3 hours) and Mental Health training (3 hours).</li> <li>Pre-School: AVID training for schoolwide implementation.</li> <li>Actively display, reteach and implement school-wide Tier 1 practices and strategies through restorative circles.</li> <li>Ongoing Professional Development in Restorative Practices, AVID, and Culturally Relevant Teaching</li> </ol>			
	strategies.  4. Book study applicable to all classroom settings (general education and ESE)  5. Proactively address students with identified behavior concerns and provide differentiated support to students in need (small groups/lunch bunch/mentors).  5. Provide new students to Orange Grove Elementary with a school tour and connection to a peer upon their arrival.  6. School Counselor lessons will take place monthly based on grade level areas of need and guidance standards.			

#6				
Title	Attendance 96% of all students met expected levels of performance. 18			
Rationale	students were absent more than 10% of the school year. We expect our performance level to be 97.6% by May, 2020.			
State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve	The number of students missing 10% or more of school will decrease from 18 to 0, as measured by CST (Child Study Team) monthly attendance reports.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)			
<b>Evidence-based Strategy</b> Strengthen the attendance problem-solving process to and support the needs of students across all Tiers on an ongoing basis				
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	We will analyze and review our data for effective implementation of strategies on a bi-weekly basis at CST and bi-monthly at SBLT.			
Action Step				
Description	<ol> <li>Implement Tier 2 and 3 plans for student-specific needs and review barriers and effectiveness on a bi-weekly basis.</li> <li>Ensure attendance is accurately taken and recorded on a daily basis and reflects the appropriate entry codes (e.g. Pending entries cleared)</li> <li>Develop and implement attendance incentive programs and competitions for both students and staff.</li> <li>Review attendance taking process and school-wide strategies for positive attendance with all staff.</li> </ol>			
Person Responsible	Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)			

Title  Family and Community Engagement  Based on results from our 18-19 AdvancedEd survey, we will continue to focus on building positive relationships with families and community partners.  State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve  Person responsible for monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy  Increased rating on the AdvancedEd survey results in 2019-20.  Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)  Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners.  Intentionally building positive relationships with families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to school endeavors.  Action Step  1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home.  3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.  Person Responsible  Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)					
Rationale  Based on results from our 18-19 AdvancedEd survey, we will continue to focus on building positive relationships with families and community partners.  Increased rating on the AdvancedEd survey results in 2019-20.  Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)  Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners.  Intentionally building positive relationships with families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to school endeavors.  Action Step  1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home. 3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.	#7				
Rationale  State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve  Person responsible for monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy  Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners.  Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners.  Intentionally building positive relationships with families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to school endeavors.  Action Step  1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home.  3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.	Title	Family and Community Engagement			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy  Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. Intentionally building positive relationships with families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to school endeavors.  Action Step  1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home. 3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.	Rationale	we will continue to focus on building positive relationships with families and community			
Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners.  Intentionally building positive relationships with families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to school endeavors.  Action Step  1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home.  3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.					
and community partners.  Intentionally building positive relationships with families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to school endeavors.  Action Step  1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home. 3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.		Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)			
families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to school endeavors.  Action Step  1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home. 3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.	<b>Evidence-based Strategy</b>				
1. Effectively communicate with families about their students' progress and school processes/practices. 2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home.  3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students. 4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.		families and community partners is a priority at Orange Grove Elementary. Throughout the year, we have 2 to 3 opportunities each month for parents, students, and teacher to participate in after-hours activities to build relationships. We have an active PTA which supports all of our efforts to bridge home to			
students' progress and school processes/practices.  2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home.  3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students.  4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners. 5. Communicate with parents the key points of restorative practices at an evening activity.	Action Step				
Person Responsible Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)	Description	students' progress and school processes/practices.  2. Provide academic tools to families in support of their students' achievement at home.  3. Purposefully involve families with opportunities for them to advocate for their students.  4. Intentionally build positive relationships with families and community partners.  5. Communicate with parents the key points of			
	Person Responsible	Christine Porter (porterch@pcsb.org)			

#8			
Title	Healthy Schools		
Rationale	Our current level of performance is Silver, as evidenced in Alliance for a Healthier Generation.		
State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve	We will reach Gold status by April 2020 as evidenced in the Alliance for Healthier Generation's Healthy Schools Program Framework.		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Laura Kuenn (kuennl@pcsb.org)		
Evidence-based Strategy	Enhance staff capacity to support students through purposeful activation and transfer strategies as it relates to personal health.		
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	Schools can play an important role in promoting healthy eating habits to children, and ensuring school food provides healthy, balanced, and nutritious meals with the appropriate amount of energy and nutrients pupils need.		
Action Step			
Description	1. Assemble a Healthy School Team made up of a minimum of four (4) individuals including, but not limited to: PE Teacher/Health Teacher, Classroom Teacher, Wellness Champion, Administrator, Cafeteria Manager, Parent, and Student 2. Attend district-supported professional development 3. Complete Healthy Schools Program Assessment 4. Develop and Implement Healthy School Program Action Plan 5. Update Healthy Schools Program Assessment and Apply for Recognition, if applicable		
Person Responsible	Laura Kuenn (kuennl@pcsb.org)		

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

# After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information)

- 1. Priority 1: Standards-based planning If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support standards-based planning, then the percent of L25 students achieving learning gains in ELA and Math will increase from 62% in ELA and 61% in Math to 72% in ELA and 71% in Math.
- 2. Priority 2: Standards-based instruction If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support standards-based instruction, then the percent of L25 students achieving learning gains in ELA and Math will increase from 62% in ELA and 61% in Math to 72% in ELA and 71% in Math.

3. Priority 3: Conditions for learning

If we effectively implement high-leverage strategies which support conditions for learning, then the percent of L25 students achieving learning gains in ELA and Math will increase from 62% in ELA and 61% in Math to 72% in ELA and 71% in Math.

## **Part IV: Title I Requirements**

#### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Pilot SIP to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students

n/a

#### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services

n/a

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another

n/a

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact

n/a

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations

n/a

## Part V: Budget

1	III.A	Areas of Focus: ELA				\$1,850.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
		140-Substitute Teachers	3021 - Orange Grove Elementary School	School Improvement Funds	370.0	\$1,850.00
Notes: TDE's for standards-based planning in ELA						
2	2 III.A Areas of Focus: Math			\$0.00		
3	3 III.A Areas of Focus: Science			\$0.00		
4 III.A Areas of Focus: Bridging the Gap				\$0.00		
5 III.A Areas of Focus: Conditions for Learning				\$0.00		
6	III.A	III.A Areas of Focus: Attendance			\$0.00	
7	7 III.A Areas of Focus: Family and Community Engagement			\$0.00		
8 III.A Areas of Focus: Healthy Schools			\$0.00			
					Total:	\$1,850.00