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Bear Creek Elementary School

2016-17 School Improvement Plan
School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served  
(per MSID File)  
Elementary School  
PK-5  
2015-16 Title I School  
Yes  
2015-16 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate  
(As Reported on Survey 3)  
100%

Primary Service Type  
(per MSID File)  
Charter School  
K-12 General Education  
No  
2015-16 Minority Rate  
(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)  
61%

School Grades History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Informational Baseline School Grade

Note: The school grades calculation was revised substantially for the 2014-15 school year to implement statutory changes made by the 2014 Legislature and incorporate the new Florida Standards Assessments. The 2014-15 school grades serve as informational baseline data that schools can use to improve in future years.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Pinellas County School Board.

SIP Authority and Template

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the Florida Department of Education’s school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.
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Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

Part I: Current School Status

Part I organizes the current status of the school around five domains inspired by the 5Essentials framework: Supportive Environment, Family and Community Involvement, Effective Leadership, Public and Collaborative Teaching, and Ambitious Instruction and Learning. Questions regarding the school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports have been embedded throughout this part to demonstrate how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs.

Part II: Needs Assessment

Part II requires the school to review performance and early warning systems data in order to develop strategic goals and associated data targets (i.e., “SMART goals”) for the coming school year in context of the school’s greatest strengths and needs. An online tool was developed, which includes data visualizations and processing questions to support problem identification, problem analysis and strategic goal formulation.

Part III: 8-Step Planning and Problem Solving for Implementation

Part III enables the school to develop implementation plans for its highest-priority goals. With the overview of the current state of the school in mind and the strategic goals identified through the needs assessment, the planning team engages in a facilitated planning and problem-solving process, through which they

- Define strategic goals and establish targets to be reached by successfully achieving the goals (Step 1)
- Identify resources available to support the goals and barriers that could hinder achieving those goals (Step 2)
- Select high-priority barriers they want to address initially (Step 3)
- Design implementation and monitoring plans for strategies to resolve selected barriers (Steps 4-7)
- Determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8)

Appendices

The following appendices, automatically-generated from content entered in Part III, are included in this document:

- Appendix 1 is a timeline of all action steps and monitoring activities
- Appendix 2 is an outline of all professional development opportunities and technical assistance items
- Appendix 3 is a report of the budget needed to implement the strategies
Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

**DA Regions**

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

**DA Categories**

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA – currently A or B with no F in prior three years; charter schools; ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only – currently A or B with at least one F in the prior three years
- Focus – currently D
  - Planning – two consecutive grades of D (i.e., DD), or a grade of F immediately followed by a grade of D in the most recent grades release (i.e., FD)
  - Implementing – two consecutive grades of D in the most recent grades release preceded by a grade below C (i.e., FDD or DDD)
- Priority – currently F
  - Planning – declined to a grade of F in the most recent grades release and have not received a planning year or implemented a turnaround option during the previous school year
  - Implementing – two consecutive grades of F (i.e., FF), or three consecutive grades below C with an F in the most recent grades release (i.e., FDF or DDF)

**DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses**

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F – currently A-D with at least one F in the prior three years; SIP is monitored by FDOE
- Planning – Focus Planning and Priority Planning; district is planning for possible turnaround
- Implementing – Focus Implementing and Priority Implementing; district is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP)

**2016-17 DA Category and Statuses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DA Category</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>RED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not In DA</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Jim Browder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Former F</th>
<th>Turnaround Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. Part I: Current School Status

A. Supportive Environment

1. School Mission and Vision
   a. Provide the school's mission statement

   Bear Creek Elementary provides all children with a challenging, high-quality education for their academic and vocational success.

   b. Provide the school's vision statement

   100 percent student success

2. School Environment
   a. Describe the process by which the school learns about students’ cultures and builds relationships between teachers and students

   Meet the teacher will provide an informal opportunity for students and parents to meet the teacher and visit the school. Teachers will elicit ideas from parents and students in regards to their likes, dislikes, strengths and areas for support. Throughout the school year, parents will have opportunities to engage in monthly parent workshops and parental involvement activities.

   As an AVID Elementary school, teachers will work to promote rigorous, relevant, and differentiated opportunities for all students based on their future goals. Short and long-term goal setting will be expected. At least 3 days per week, on the school news, a new person and career will be introduced to spark student interest.

   A welcoming attitude and inviting environment will be expected by all office staff members- parents and visitors will be first priority. We will continuously elicit volunteers and encouraging parental involvement as one of our priorities.

   b. Describe how the school creates an environment where students feel safe and respected before, during and after school

   One way that we have created an environment where students feel safe and respected is by requiring all visitors, media personnel and vendors to check in at the front office. The front office is the only point of entrance onto school grounds once school is in session.

   All entrances are locked at the start of the day and remain in this status until dismissal. Before the gates are open for morning drop-off, staff members must use their sonitrol pass or gate key to enter on school grounds. Students are taught that they are never to open perimeter doors without the approval of an adult. ALL visitors must wear a visitors badge and the staff has been trained to alert the front office when this is not evident.

   Staff members are positioned throughout the campus before and after school with the expectation of welcoming our families and monitoring the arrival/dismissal process.

   Classroom teachers are positioned at their doors to welcome students and encourage them to begin their day on a positive note.
c. Describe the schoolwide behavioral system in place that aids in minimizing distractions to keep students engaged during instructional time. This may include, but is not limited to, established protocols for disciplinary incidents, clear behavioral expectations, and training for school personnel to ensure the system is fairly and consistently enforced.

Bear Creek has identified four expectations that are the GFS (guidelines for success). These guidelines are - Be responsible, Encourage others, Actively participate and Respect others. These common area expectations are shared in the classrooms, on the news and in the monthly newsletter. Classroom rules and expectations are aligned to the GFS and posted in the classrooms.

Please see modified behavior plan below:
Developing lesson plans aligned to our Guidelines for Success and a concrete timeline for the implementation. Making certain that all stakeholders are aware of our GFS/school-wide expectation. We will complete 3 hour training with our staff. Administration will host a school-wide assembly to “pump up” our GFS. Teachers will teach the GFS for the first 10-days of school using lesson plans developed over the summer our behavior/foundations team. Follow-up lessons will be taught as needed.

Evaluation – a timeline will be developed for the purposes of sharing, tracking, scheduling and tracking our current plan. As a team we will review monthly incident and referral data based on the identified common areas. These areas have been identified using multiple data sources (EDS, portal, Decision Ed…etc.) are based on the frequency of incidents in these locations are considered as “hot spots”. The SBLT and teachers in PLCs will identify what appears to be working and will make adjustments as needed. The school guidance counselor will pull small groups for those students who are struggling with our plan.

Implementation – Through the use of walk-throughs, data will be collected on sustaining what’s currently in place and whether or not the staff is following through with the implementation plan.

d. Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services

Our school's guidance department currently provides Tier 1 social skills lessons to all students in grades K-5. Lessons are developed around the Commitment to Character traits, and shared monthly on the morning news.

Our guidance counselor and social worker provide additional small group support for students who are struggling with specific issues (i.e. bullying, getting along with others, changing families..etc). Some students also receive individual one-on-one support in areas which are unique to the individual behavior plans.

This year we will also be offering an after school social skills club. One for students in the primary grades (1st semester), and one for students in the in the intermediate grades (2nd semester).

Our community liasion works with our community partners to recruit mentors for students in need. For the upcoming school year, Bear Creek has partnered with our feeder high school, Boca Ciega, to provide additional mentorship opportunities.

3. Early Warning Systems
The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(ii)(III), (b)(1)(B)(iii)(I), and (b)(1)(I).

a. Describe the school’s early warning system and provide a list of the early warning indicators used in the system
Bear Creek Elementary's early warning system (EWS) is a data system that identifies students in grades K-5 “at-risk” of dropping out and the intervention process to link identified students with services and monitor progress. The indicators listed below are used in our current system.

*One or more out of school suspensions
*One or more previous retentions
*Attendance below 90 percent
*Low performing students as measured by FSA bottom quintile, running record, iStation, common assessment (reading and math) and SAT-10 data

b. Provide the following data related to the school's early warning system

1. The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attendance below 90 percent</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more suspensions</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course failure in ELA or Math</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 on statewide assessment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students exhibiting two or more indicators</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Describe all intervention strategies employed by the school to improve the academic performance of students identified by the early warning system

Bear Creek Elementary's early warning system (EWS) is a data system that identifies students in grades K-5 “at-risk” of dropping out and the intervention process to link identified students with services and monitor progress. The indicators listed below are used in our current system.

Administration and grades level teachers meet once per month (during scheduled PLCs) to discuss students falling under the EWS categories outlined above. During this time, decisions are made regarding the next steps as it relates to students. Please see some of the steps which are taken below:

- CST team meets every other Friday to discuss students with chronic attendance issues.
- RtI/MTSS team meets weekly to discuss and develop plans for students who are struggling academically and with behavior concerns. Decisions are made regarding moving students to Tier 2 or Tier 3 based on evidence through the use of an identified data collection process.
- Conferences are scheduled with parents/guardians to get support from home, and to keep them informed.
- Attendance celebration for students who are present at or above the 90% expectation.

B. Family and Community Engagement

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa).
1. Describe how the school works at building positive relationships with families to increase involvement, including efforts to communicate the school's mission and vision, and keep parents informed of their child's progress

Title I schools use the Parent Involvement Plan (PIP) to meet the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(F).

a. Will the school use its PIP to satisfy this question?
   Yes

   1. **PIP Link**
   The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PIP), which is available at the school site.

2. **Description**

2. Describe the process by which the school builds and sustains partnerships with the local community for the purpose of securing and utilizing resources to support the school and student achievement

Bear Creek looks for partnerships that are mutually beneficial to best serve our students. Our staff is dedicated to meeting the educational needs of all children and we believe that involvement and assistance from community partnerships completes the vision we have of attaining high student achievement.

The classroom partnerships are mainly secured through our Family & Community Liaison. She reaches out to the surrounding community by visiting the local businesses or with personal phone calls to owners and managers. These partnerships run throughout the initial year with continued communication that allows us the possibility to sustain the relationship from year to year.

Businesses, community service organizations, and families have joined us as partners.

Involvement includes:
- Sending employees to volunteer or become part of our mentor program
- Speaking at our school about their business/career for the Great American Teach In
- Recognizing students for academics, attendance or behavior
- Teacher Incentives
- Participating in PTA or SAC
- Visits to tour our campus
- Inviting students on a fieldtrip to their business or display student work
- Making a monetary donation/or purchasing items for specific programs/classrooms by becoming involved in our Adopt-A-Class program
- Pack-a-Snack program

Additionally, the partner receives:
- Advertisement in our school newsletter and a thank you on our school marquee
- A personal certificate of recognition for their contribution
- A thank you from the class/staff
- An invitation to an end of year celebration and to events held at our school throughout the year

C. Effective Leadership

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(H).

1. **School Leadership Team**

   a. **Membership**
   Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.
### Name and Title

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwiatkowski, Claudine</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks, Emily</td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capen, Alicia</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson-Levy, Sharon</td>
<td>Guidance Counselor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shible, Melissa</td>
<td>Teacher, ESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter, Lisa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krenitsky, Mary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobbs, Carybeth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layton, Patricia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### b. Duties

1. **Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making**

   - Principal (Willette Houston) - Monitors the process
   - Assistant Principal (Donnika Jones) - assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data.
   - Time keeper; helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda
   - MTSS Academic Coach (Claudine Kwiatkowski) - Generates agenda, leads meeting discussion and generally facilitates the meeting
   - MTSS Behavior Coach (Steven Halstead) - Generates agenda leads meeting discussion and facilitates the behavior focused meetings.
   - Language Arts Coach (Patricia Layton) - provide feedback and ongoing support for staff
   - Math Coach (Carybeth Hobbs) - provide feedback and ongoing support for staff
   - Psychologist (Emily Hubbard) - assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
   - Social Worker (Marcia Youngerman) - assist team in accessing and interpreting EWS data
   - Diagnostician (Lisa Porter) - assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
   - Guidance Counselor (Sharon Johnson-Levy) - assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) discipline data
   - VE Resource teacher (Melissa Shible) - provide feedback and resources were needed
   - SLP(Alicia Capen) - provide feedback and resources were needed

2. **Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact**

   The Bear Creek MTSS team meets every Tuesday at 7:30 am in the data room to review/revise current processes, analyze Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 progress and make adjustments to current plans.
as needed. The team uses student performance and discipline data to make decisions about instruction, SIP goals and eligibility. The instructional staff is collaborating with Learning Sciences International (LSI) focusing on increasing rigorous standards-based instruction in the classroom.

2. School Advisory Council (SAC)

a. Membership
Identify the name and stakeholder group for each member of the SAC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willette Houston</td>
<td>Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willette Houston</td>
<td>Education Support Employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudine Kwaitkowski</td>
<td>Education Support Employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica D'Angelo</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacey Montgomery</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlene Davis</td>
<td>Parent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nichole Dubois</td>
<td>Parent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alicia Pederson</td>
<td>Parent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Griffin</td>
<td>Parent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Duties

1. Provide a description of the SAC’s involvement with the following activities, as required by section 1001.452(2), Florida Statutes

a. Evaluation of last year’s school improvement plan

SAC will continue to evaluate/progress monitor student achievement as outlined in the School Improvement Plan.

b. Development of this school improvement plan

The SAC collects and analyzes information about the community and the school and receives public input regarding needs (Needs Assessment) of the school. SAC provides ongoing review of the progress being made toward implementation of the School Improvement Plan. The SAC also evaluates success by monitoring short-term and long-term outcomes.

SAC members are given the opportunity to provide feedback and input on goals of the school. SAC’s specific focus is to assist in increasing parental involvement in academic programs.

c. Preparation of the school’s annual budget and plan

Funds for the 2016-2017 school term have not been allocated.

2. Describe the use of school improvement funds allocated last year, including the amount budgeted for each project

The 2015-2016 school improvement funds were used to retain substitute teachers for TDEs in grades K-5, ESE and Pre-K. During grade level TDEs, teachers used this time for lesson study (grades K-4) and analyzing data.
3. Verify that the school is in compliance with section 1001.452, Florida Statutes, regarding the establishment requirements and duties of the SAC

Yes

a. If the school is not in compliance, describe the measures being implemented to meet SAC requirements

3. Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

a. Membership
Identify the name and position title for each member of the school-based LLT or similar group, if applicable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wesley, Delores</td>
<td>Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>Assistant Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwiatkowski, Claudine</td>
<td>Instructional Coach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layton, Patricia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Duties

1. Describe how the LLT or similar group promotes literacy within the school, if applicable

Literacy Leadership Team promotes literacy within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:
• Support for text complexity
• Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
  o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
  o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
  o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
  o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
  o Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
• Support for implementation of Florida State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

D. Public and Collaborative Teaching

The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(D).

1. Describe the school's strategies to encourage positive working relationships between teachers, including collaborative planning and instruction

We have implemented a true block schedule which allows teachers to meet as a grade level team for collaborative standards based planning and instruction. This also allows opportunities for our embedded coaches to meet with grade level teams to unpack standards, develop lessons via backwards design and develop formative assessments in an effort to make informed instructional decisions.

At the end of the 2015-2016 school term, the instructional staff voted to discontinue with PLCs during the block. During the 2016-2017 school year, standards-based PLCs will take place every Thursday morning from 7:30am-8:15am. We are presently collaborating with LSI to target and focus on areas in need of improvement regarding effective standards-based instruction.
2. Describe the school's strategies to recruit, develop and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school

As a turnaround school, administration has the ability to re-interview instructional staff members as necessary.

With district support administration was also able to provide teachers with a $3,000 recruitment bonus as an incentive.

At the end of the 2015-2016 school term, teachers were also eligible for an additional $1,000 incentive for meeting a SIP goal.

3. Describe the school's teacher mentoring program, including the rationale for pairings and planned mentoring activities

1. First year teachers will be assigned a site-based mentor to meet with weekly for ongoing guidance (Lead Mentors will support this initiative)
2. Pinellas county’s taxpayers passed a referendum which funds higher salaries for all teachers (County-wide initiative which is reviewed every 4 years pay taxpayers).
3. Title I; Supplemental Title I Funds are allocated to further facilitate increased student achievement. Through these funds, Title I Hourly Teachers and a MTSS Coach are retained.

Lead mentors and team leaders will serve as liaisons between administration and grade level teams. Teachers new to Bear Creek will be given opportunities to observe colleagues during designated times or segments of the day.

E. Ambitious Instruction and Learning

1. Instructional Programs and Strategies

   a. Instructional Programs

   1. Describe how the school ensures its core instructional programs and materials are aligned to Florida’s standards

   We rely on the expertise of administration, teachers and our embedded coaches to ensure that our core instructional programs and materials are aligned to the level of Rigor and Taxonomy level of the Florida standards by monitoring:
   - Instructional staff members will collaborate with Learning Science International (LSI) to increase the level of rigor during learning.
   - During standards-based PLCs and common planning, embedded coaches will assist teachers in aligning instruction to meet the Florida Standards for all content areas (ELA and MAFS), along with the Science NGSSS.
   - Teachers will regularly assess (both formally and informally) and utilize data to modify and adjust instruction.
   - Teachers will use data to differentiate and scaffold to increase student performance.
   - Teachers will provide students with extensive opportunities to write across all content areas.
   - Teachers will increase opportunities for students to collaborate with peers.
   - Teachers will establish routine practice for students using Success Criteria to track individual progress of Learning Targets in relation to the standard.

b. Instructional Strategies

   1. Describe how the school uses data to provide and differentiate instruction to meet the diverse needs of students. Provide examples of how instruction is modified or supplemented
to assist students having difficulty attaining the proficient or advanced level on state assessments

Making data informed decisions - During bi-weekly data chats and standards based PLC sessions with the embedded coaches, teachers will analyze formative assessment data to adjust instruction (whole and small group) to meet the needs of individual learners. Teachers will use bi-weekly teacher created formative assessments, iStation, running record, and common assessments data in reading. Teachers will use Soar to Success, bi-weekly teacher created formative and common assessments in math to supplement and support students having difficulties attaining proficiency in these areas.

Teachers will develop student friendly learning targets and sequences, aligned to the grade level standards, to effectively communicate the purpose of the lesson.

Teachers will Focus on integrating AVID strategies into the content in grades K-5.
- Planners building consistency and a protocol for the school (K-5)
- Goal setting both short term and long term (2-5)
- Note taking strategies in grades (K-5)
- Inquiry (3-5)
- WICORizing daily lessons (4-5)
- Growth mind-set through actionable teacher/peer feedback (feed forward)

2. Provide the following information for each strategy the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help enrich and accelerate the curriculum:
**Strategy:** After School Program

**Minutes added to school year:** 7,200

This year we will be implementing "Promise Time" after school extension and enrichment program(s) to provide students with extended learning opportunities. We will expand the school year by at least 7200 minutes to improve student outcomes in core academic subjects, broaden enrichment opportunities, and improve instruction by adding more planning and professional development time for teachers.

Our goal is to have a math and reading afternoon learning academies.

**Strategy Rationale**

Research suggests that regular participation in programs that provide academic and social activities contribute positively to children's academic and social development.

**Strategy Purpose(s)**

- Core Academic Instruction
- Enrichment

**Person(s) responsible for monitoring implementation of the strategy**

Houston, Willette, houstonw@pcsbo.org

**Data that is or will be collected and how it is analyzed to determine effectiveness of the strategy**

I-ready OPM data collection will take place every six weeks to determine where adjustments to the curriculum should be made and whether or not the implementation is effective.

Data collection tools such as running records, and formal/informal district approved assessment tools will be used to monitor student progress.

2. Student Transition and Readiness

   a. PreK-12 Transition

   The school's response to this question may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(G).

   **1. Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another**

   Kindergarten Teachers will host an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

   In late Spring AVID students from our feeder, middle and high, are invited to talk with our fourth and fifth grade students to help them in preparing for their transition to post elementary school.

   b. College and Career Readiness
1. Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations

N/A

2. Identify the career and technical education programs available to students and industry certifications that may be earned through those respective programs

N/A

3. Describe efforts the school has taken to integrate career and technical education with academic courses (e.g., industrial biotechnology) to support student achievement

N/A

4. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report, as required by section 1008.37(4), Florida Statutes

N/A

II. Needs Assessment

The school's completion of this section may satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(A).

A. Problem Identification

1. Data to Support Problem Identification

   b. Data Uploads
   Data uploads are not required by the Florida Department of Education but are offered as a tool for the needs assessment. In this section, the school may upload files of locally available data charts and graphs being used as evidence of need.

   The following documents were submitted as evidence for this section:

   No files were uploaded

2. Problem Identification Summary
   This section is not required by the Florida Department of Education but is provided as an opportunity for the school to summarize the points of strength and areas of need that have been identified in the data.

B. Problem Analysis Summary
   This section is not required by the Florida Department of Education but is provided as an opportunity for the school to summarize the underlying "why"? or root causes for the areas of need identified in the data, as determined by situational awareness of, and research conducted by, the stakeholders involved in the needs assessment.

C. Strategic Goals
School Improvement Goals

The following key is intended to help readers understand how the sections of this document correspond to the steps of the 8-step planning and problem-solving framework used in the School Improvement Plan. The Quick Key numbers can help registered users go directly to the point of entry for any given goal, barrier and strategy within the online survey.

Problem Solving Key

G = Goal
B = Barrier
S = Strategy

1 = Problem Solving Step
S123456 = Quick Key

Strategic Goals Summary

G1. By developing and sustaining a healthy, respectful, caring and safe learning environment for students and staff and community members we will engage in wellness efforts through the Alliance for a Healthier Generation’s Healthy Schools Program working towards Bronze Level Recognition becoming eligible in 4 out of 6 Assessment Modules.

G2. Under the Bradley Memorandum of Understanding, close the achievement gap between our African American and non-African American to meet our 2017 reading and math AMO targets.

G3. Decrease the number of students identified under two or more EWS indicators from 17% to 15% as identified in Performance Matters data base. Decrease the number of students absent 10% or more from school by 5%.

G4. Increase science proficiency in grade 5 from 36% to 55% as measured by the SSA.

G5. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 ELA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 35% to 50% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE ELA proficiency from 19% to 30% as measured by FSA. Increase grade 1 reading comprehension stanines (4-9) from 72% to 74% as measured by SAT-10. Increase grade 2 reading comprehension proficiency stanines (4-9) from 66% to 69% as measured by SAT-10.

G6. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 Math FSA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 46% to 55% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE Math proficiency from 14% to 30% as measured by FSA. Students in grade 1 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 57% to 61% as measured by SAT-10. Students in grade 2 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 53% to 58% as measured by SAT-10.

Strategic Goals Detail

For each strategic goal, this section lists the associated targets (i.e., “SMART goals”), resources available to support movement toward the goal, barriers to achieving the goal, and the plan for monitoring progress toward the goal.
G1. By developing and sustaining a healthy, respectful, caring and safe learning environment for students and staff and community members we will engage in wellness efforts through the Alliance for a Healthier Generation’s Healthy Schools Program working towards Bronze Level Recognition becoming eligible in 4 out of 6 Assessment Modules.

Targets Supported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Annual Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal
- Lack of staff participation to complete assessment modules and develop an action plan for improvement.

Resources Available to Support the Goal
- Healthy School Team Administrator Cafeteria Manager PE Coach District PCS Wellness Consultant

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G1.

Increased number of eligible assessment modules and completion action plans for the Alliance for a Healthier Generation, Healthy School Program.

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Biweekly, from 9/23/2016 to 5/26/2017

Evidence of Completion
G2. Under the Bradley Memorandum of Understanding, close the achievement gap between our African American and non-African American to meet our 2017 reading and math AMO targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets Supported</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Annual Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMO Math - African American</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMO Reading - African American</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Percentage of black students entering current grade level performing one or more years below grade level expectation

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- MTSS process
- Connect to Success at home technology program
- Extended day learning
- Small group instruction
- AVID strategies
- Students Services (social worker, MTSS coach, guidance counselor, SLP, VE resource teachers, and psychologist)

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G2.

SBLT will review running record, common and bi-weekly formative assessments

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

Evidence of Completion
SBLT meeting minutes
G3. Decrease the number of students identified under two or more EWS indicators from 17% to 15% as identified in Performance Matters data base. Decrease the number of students absent 10% or more from school by 5%.

**Targets Supported**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Annual Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students exhibiting two or more EWS indicators (Total)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Process of identifying and monitoring students who fall under two or more EWS indicators

**Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- Child Study Team
- Social Worker
- Administration
- Guidance Counselor
- Students Services (social worker, MTSS coach, guidance counselor, SLP, VE resource teachers, and psychologist)

**Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G3.**

EWS data pulled from Performance Matters

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Monthly, from 9/1/2015 to 5/31/2016

**Evidence of Completion**

EWS data review minutes
G4. Increase science proficiency in grade 5 from 36% to 55% as measured by the SSA.  

**Targets Supported**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Annual Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCAT 2.0 Science Proficiency</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal**

- Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state science expectations.

**Resources Available to Support the Goal**

- District support
- Science Lab
- District-wide science coach
- Common planning/standards based PLCs
- Professional development
- SBLT
- AVID
- LLI

**Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G4.**

Common assessment, lab pre/post assessments and success criteria data will be collected and reviewed throughout the year.

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

PLC and data chat reviews meeting minutes/agenda
G5. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 ELA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 35% to 50% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE ELA proficiency from 19% to 30% as measured by FSA. Increase grade 1 reading comprehension stanines (4-9) from 72% to 74% as measured by SAT-10. Increase grade 2 reading comprehension proficiency stanines (4-9) from 66% to 69% as measured by SAT-10. 1a

Targets Supported 1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Annual Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMO Reading - All Students</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal 3

- Lack of effective planning
- Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state ELA expectations.

Resources Available to Support the Goal 2

- District support
- Title I support (funding, interventionists and hourly teachers)
- Common planning/standards based PLCs
- Professional development
- SBLT
- ELP
- AVID
- LSI

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward G5. 8

Administration will review weekly lesson plans and use walk-through trend data to determine which teachers or grade level require additional support.

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

Evidence of Completion
Lesson plan feedback and leadership minutes capturing the review of walk-through data review.
G6. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 Math FSA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 46% to 55% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE Math proficiency from 14% to 30% as measured by FSA. Students in grade 1 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 57% to 61% as measured by SAT-10. Students in grade 2 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 53% to 58% as measured by SAT-10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Annual Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMO Math - All Students</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal
- Lack of effective planning
- Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state science expectations.

Resources Available to Support the Goal
- District support
- Title I support (MTSS and hourly teachers)
- Embedded coaching support (literacy, math and science)
- Common planning/standards based PLCs
- Professional development
- SBLT
- AVID
- LSI

Administration will review weekly lesson plans and use walk-through trend data to determine which teachers or grade level(s) require additional support.

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 5/19/2017

Evidence of Completion
Students will show learning gains on common assessments.
**Action Plan for Improvement**

For each strategy selected in the plan to reduce a targeted barrier to a strategic goal, this section lists the rationale for that strategy (i.e., why the school believes it will reduce the barrier) and the action steps that have been identified as necessary to implementing the strategy, including details such as the point person, timing and duration, and evidence of completion. At the end of each set of action steps is the plan for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of the respective strategy.

### Problem Solving Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Barrier</td>
<td>Strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= Problem Solving Step  = Quick Key

**G1.** By developing and sustaining a healthy, respectful, caring and safe learning environment for students and staff and community members we will engage in wellness efforts through the Alliance for a Healthier Generation’s Healthy Schools Program working towards Bronze Level Recognition becoming eligible in 4 out of 6 Assessment Modules.

**G1.B1** Lack of staff participation to complete assessment modules and develop an action plan for improvement.

**G1.B1.S1** Provide opportunities for staff to participate in health assessment modules and develop a plan and action steps to meet the targeted goal.

**Strategy Rationale**

**Action Step 1**

Healthy school team will develop an action plan to ensure that 75% of the staff meets the Bronze Level.

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Every 6 Weeks, from 9/26/2016 to 5/26/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1

Wellness Coach will monitor school-wide Bronze status

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Every 6 Weeks, from 9/26/2016 to 5/26/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G1.B1.S1

The Healthy School Team will review all assessment items to determine the most feasible item(s) to improve in one module to achieve recognition level, and then develop an action plan for that item(s).

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Every 6 Weeks, from 9/26/2016 to 5/26/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
G2. Under the Bradley Memorandum of Understanding, close the achievement gap between our African American and non-African American to meet our 2017 reading and math AMO targets.

G2.B1 Percentage of black students entering current grade level performing one or more years below grade level expectation

G2.B1.S1 Teachers will differentiate instruction in all lessons to meet the needs of our African American learners.

**Strategy Rationale**
Research shows tailoring instruction to meet individual students needs is critical to closing the achievement gap.

**Action Step 1**
Identify under performing African American students in grades K-5.

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Monthly, from 8/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Monthly formative assessment data reports & by the numbers data

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S1**
Review of weekly lesson plans

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 8/22/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Lesson plan feedback reports completed by Donnika Jones
Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G2.B1.S1

Monitor the achievement gap amongst African American students and an non-AA students during bi-weekly data chats

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Biweekly, from 9/8/2016 to 5/19/2017

Evidence of Completion
Data chat meeting minutes and OPM graphs

G3. Decrease the number of students identified under two or more EWS indicators from 17% to 15% as identified in Performance Matters data base. Decrease the number of students absent 10% or more from school by 5%.  

G3.B1 Process of identifying and monitoring students who fall under two or more EWS indicators

G3.B1.S1 Include a time (monthly) on the master professional development schedule to review EWS data during PLCs.

Strategy Rationale
Providing time within the master PD schedule will ensure that the process will take place.

Action Step 1
Administration and grade level teachers will meet monthly to review and discuss the progress (academic, attendance and behavior) of students identified under 2+ EWS indicators.

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

Evidence of Completion
PLC agenda, meeting minutes and Performance Matters EWS data information
CST & SBLT will meet monthly to review EWS data and determine what additional supports are needed for student success.

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Biweekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
CST and SBLT meeting agenda and minutes

The problem solving process will be used to determine implementation effectiveness.

**Person Responsible**
Claudine Kwiatkowski

**Schedule**
Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
CST and SBLT team meeting agenda
G4. Increase science proficiency in grade 5 from 36% to 55% as measured by the SSA.

G4.B1 Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state science expectations.

G4.B1.S1 Participate in standards based PLCs

**Strategy Rationale**

Research shows that not only will effective collaboration with peers improve teacher performance, it increases student performance.

**Action Step 1**

Teachers will receive science training from coach and learning specialist in using new curriculum guides, SLAG, 5Es, and formative assessments

- **Person Responsible**
  Willette Houston

- **Schedule**
  Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

- **Evidence of Completion**
  PD agendas and lesson plans

**Action Step 2**

Students in grades 3-5 will participate in "hands on learning" in the school's onsite science lab.

- **Person Responsible**
  Willette Houston

- **Schedule**
  Every 6 Weeks, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

- **Evidence of Completion**
  Lesson plans and science lab schedule

Administrators will provide teachers and science coach with walkthrough feedback on implementation of science instructional best practices.

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Walkthrough data


Additional training and support will be provided as needed individually, by grade level, or whole school based on trend-data. Administration will monitor lesson plans and provide teachers with walk-through feedback.

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Walkthrough feedback and LSI Growth Tracker
G4.B1.S2 Design instruction that is student centered and rigorous

**Strategy Rationale**

Research shows pedagogy where students have increasing autonomy and responsibility for their own learning, students are able to analyze and reason more.

**Action Step 1**

PD on student centered instruction

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Semiannually, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

Meeting minutes


Walkthrough observational data and feedback provided to teachers

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

Growth feedback data using the growth tracker or iObservation showing whether or not teachers are implementing this strategy on a routine basis

Monthly review of build walkthrough observational data and PD provided as necessary

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
As leadership team reviews monthly walkthrough data, team will develop a plan to provide additional PD support in classrooms where student autonomy is not evident.

---

G4.B1.S3 Teachers must clearly understand what students should be learning

**Strategy Rationale**
There is not enough time in the school day to teach material that is not standards based.

**Action Step 1**
Instructional staff will attend PD on Learning Targets and Sequences

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
On 8/2/2016

**Evidence of Completion**
Meeting minutes, sign in sheets and PowerPoint for training

During weekly standards based PLCs, embedded coaches will plan with grade level teams to unpack standards and develop Learning Targets and Sequences

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
PLC meeting minutes/sign-in sheets.


Administration will monitor walk through building trend data

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
iObservation building and LSI growth tracker data
Strategy Rationale

Formative assessments (minute to minute) are essential in determining whether or not a lesson is effective and if students understand.

Action Step 1

Provide training on formative assessments and why we need them

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
On 8/31/2016

Evidence of Completion


Teachers will use the LSI Tracker as a monitoring tool

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Daily, from 9/5/2016 to 6/5/2017

Evidence of Completion
Tracker usage reports will be reviewed to determine if the tool is being utilized.
Leadership team will meet bi-weekly to review tracker/iObservation data

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/2/2016 to 9/5/2016

**Evidence of Completion**

LSI tracker and iObservation will be monitored to determine
G5. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 ELA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 35% to 50% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE ELA proficiency from 19% to 30% as measured by FSA. Increase grade 1 reading comprehension stanines (4-9) from 72% to 74% as measured by SAT-10. Increase grade 2 reading comprehension proficiency stanines (4-9) from 66% to 69% as measured by SAT-10.

G5.B1 Lack of effective planning

G5.B1.S1 Increased fidelity of effective ELA (reading and writing) lesson planning

Strategy Rationale

Research shows the importance of intentional planning for standards-based instruction. Students must identify, plan for, assess and teach to level required to master the standards.

Action Step 1

Embedded literacy coach will model exemplar lessons and work with teachers to study effective methods to meet the standards which include: lesson study, cycles of coaching, and follow up or feedback sessions.

Person Responsible
Patricia Layton

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/2/2016 to 6/2/2017

Evidence of Completion
Lesson plans and coaching log

Action Step 2

During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded literacy coach will design effective student centered lessons based on the ELA (reading and writing) standards. Lessons will include: word work, close reading of grade level material and explicit reading and writing standards based instruction.

Person Responsible
Patricia Layton

Schedule
Biweekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

Evidence of Completion
PD agendas and lesson plans
Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G5.B1.S1

Administration, literacy and MTSS coach will support, coach, model and provide feedback lessons.

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Coaching log, walk-through data, PLC minutes and intervention fidelity check lists.

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G5.B1.S1

Administrators will observe and provide ongoing feedback on ELA lessons

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Leadership team meeting minutes
G5.B2 Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state ELA expectations.

G5.B2.S1 Participate in standards based PLCs

**Strategy Rationale**

Research shows that not only will effective collaboration with peers improve teacher performance, it increases student performance.

**Action Step 1**

Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards based lessons.

**Person Responsible**

Patricia Layton

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

Common grade level lesson plans, learning targets & sequences, formative assessments and meeting minutes.

**Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G5.B2.S1**

Administration will be present for all standards-based PLCs

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

PLC minutes and sign-in sheets
Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Implementation of G5.B2.S1

Bi-weekly students data chats/reviews

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Biweekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
Assessment data (formative & summative) will show students performing at higher levels to meet the standard.

G5.B2.S2 Design instruction that is student centered and rigorous

**Strategy Rationale**
Research shows pedagogy where students have increasing autonomy and responsibility for their own learning, students are able to analyze and reason more.

**Action Step 1**
Lessons will reflect a natural progression of cognitive complexity and student autonomy

**Person Responsible**
Patricia Layton

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 9/8/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

Ongoing support and feedback from LSI and site-based leadership team.

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**
LSI school-wide feedback and iObservation feedback.


Principal will meet with the leadership team weekly to reflect on walk-through feedback

**Person Responsible**
Willette Houston

**Schedule**
Weekly, from 9/2/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

G5.B2.S3 Teachers must clearly understand what students should be learning

**Strategy Rationale**
There is not enough time in the school day to teach things that are not standards based.

**Action Step(s) Missing for Goal #5, Barrier #2, Strategy #3**
Complete one or more action steps for this Strategy or deselect it
Strategy Rationale

Formative assessments (minute to minute) are essential in determining whether or not a lesson is effective and if students understand.

Action Step(s) Missing for Goal #5, Barrier #2, Strategy #4
Complete one or more action steps for this Strategy or deselect it
G6. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 Math FSA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 46% to 55% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE Math proficiency from 14% to 30% as measured by FSA. Students in grade 1 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 57% to 61% as measured by SAT-10. Students in grade 2 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 53% to 58% as measured by SAT-10.

G6.B1 Lack of effective planning

G6.B1.S1 Increased fidelity of effective math lesson planning

Strategy Rationale

**Action Step 1**

During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded math coach will design effective student centered lessons based on the Eight Mathematical Practices and will include: formative assessment tools, and the use of curriculum and content planning guides.

**Person Responsible**

Carybeth Hobbs

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

*Evidence of Completion*

PD agendas, PLC minutes and collaborative planning lessons

**Action Step 2**

Embedded math coach will model exemplar lessons and work with teachers to study effective methods to meet the standards which include: lesson study, cycles of coaching, and follow up or feedback sessions.

**Person Responsible**

Carybeth Hobbs

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 9/4/2017

*Evidence of Completion*

PD agendas, Standards based PLC minutes and collaborative planning lessons

Administration, math coach and MTSS coach will support, coach, model and provide feedback on lessons

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

Evidence of Completion
Coaching logs, walk-through data and PLC meeting minutes.


Administrators will observe and provide ongoing feedback on math lessons.

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

Evidence of Completion
Leadership team meeting minutes
Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state science expectations.

**Strategy Rationale**

Research shows that not only will effective collaboration with peers improve teacher performance, it increases student performance.

**Action Step 1**

Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards based lessons

- **Person Responsible**
  Carybeth Hobbs

- **Schedule**
  Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

- **Evidence of Completion**
  Common grade level lesson plans, learning targets & sequences, formative assessments and meeting minutes


Administration will be present for all standards-based PLCs

- **Person Responsible**
  Willette Houston

- **Schedule**
  Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

- **Evidence of Completion**
  PLC minutes and sign-in sheets
Strategy Rationale

Research shows pedagogy where students have increasing autonomy and responsibility for their own learning, students are able to analyze and reason more.

Action Step 1

Lesson will reflect a natural progression of cognitive complexity and student autonomy

Person Responsible
Carybeth Hobbs

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/8/2016 to 5/19/2017

Evidence of Completion


Ongoing support and feedback from LSI and site-based leadership team

Person Responsible
Willette Houston

Schedule
Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017

Evidence of Completion
LSI school-wide feedback and iObservation feedback

Principal will meet with the leadership team weekly to reflect on walk-through trend data

**Person Responsible**

Willette Houston

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/2/2016 to 5/19/2017

**Evidence of Completion**

Walk-through data will show evidence of students of student doing most of the work at taxonomy level that meets or exceeds the standard.
### IV. Implementation Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Start Date (where applicable)</th>
<th>Deliverable or Evidence of Completion</th>
<th>Due Date/End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G3.MA1</td>
<td>EWS data pulled from Performance Matters</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/1/2015</td>
<td>EWS data review minutes</td>
<td>5/31/2016 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2.B1.S1.MA1</td>
<td>Review of weekly lesson plans</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>8/22/2016</td>
<td>Lesson plan feedback reports completed by Donnica Jones</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6.B2.S2.MA1</td>
<td>Ongoing support and feedback from LSI and site-based leadership team</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>LSI school-wide feedback and iObservation feedback</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6.B2.S1.A1</td>
<td>Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards based lessons</td>
<td>Hobbs, Carybeth</td>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>Common grade level lesson plans, learning targets &amp; sequences, formative assessments and meeting minutes</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B2.S1.MA1</td>
<td>Bi-weekly students data chats/reviews</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>Assessment data (formative &amp; summative) will show students performing at higher levels to meet the standard.</td>
<td>5/19/2017 biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B2.S2.MA1</td>
<td>Ongoing support and feedback from LSI and site-based leadership team.</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>LSI school-wide feedback and iObservation feedback.</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B2.S1.MA1</td>
<td>Administration will be present for all standards-based PLCs</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>PLC minutes and sign-in sheets</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B2.S1.A1</td>
<td>Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards based lessons.</td>
<td>Layton, Patricia</td>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>Common grade level lesson plans, learning targets &amp; sequences, formative assessments and meeting minutes.</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6.B2.S1.MA1</td>
<td>Administration will be present for all standards-based PLCs</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/1/2016</td>
<td>PLC minutes and sign-in sheets</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S4.MA1</td>
<td>Leadership team will meet bi-weekly to review tracker/iObservation data</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/2/2016</td>
<td>LSI tracker and iObservation will be monitored to determine</td>
<td>9/5/2016 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B2.S2.MA1</td>
<td>Principal will meet with the leadership team weekly to reflect on walk-through feedback</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/2/2016</td>
<td>Walk-through data will show evidence of students of student doing most of the work at taxonomy level that meets or exceeds the standard.</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6.B2.S2.MA1</td>
<td>Principal will meet with the leadership team weekly to reflect on walk-through trend data</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/2/2016</td>
<td>Walk-through data will show evidence of students of student doing most of the work at taxonomy level that meets or exceeds the standard.</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B1.S1.A1</td>
<td>Embedded literacy coach will model exemplar lessons and work with teachers to study effective...</td>
<td>Layton, Patricia</td>
<td>9/2/2016</td>
<td>Lesson plans and coaching log</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6.MA1</td>
<td>Administration will review weekly lesson plans and use walk-through trend data to determine which...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Students will show learning gains on common assessments.</td>
<td>5/19/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3.B1.S1.MA1</td>
<td>The problem solving process will be used to determine implementation effectiveness</td>
<td>Kwiatkowski, Claudine</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>CST and SBLT team meeting agenda</td>
<td>6/2/2017 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity</td>
<td>Who</td>
<td>Start Date (where applicable)</td>
<td>Deliverable or Evidence of Completion</td>
<td>Due Date/End Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S1.A2 A266853</td>
<td>Students in grades 3-5 will participate in &quot;hands on learning&quot; in the school's on site science lab.</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Lesson plans and science lab schedule</td>
<td>6/2/2017 every-6-weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6.B1.S1.MA1 M260128</td>
<td>Administration, math coach and MTSS coach will support, coach, model and provide feedback on lessons</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Coaching logs, walk-through data and PLC meeting minutes.</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6.B1.S1.A1 A266859</td>
<td>During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded math coach will design effective...</td>
<td>Hobbs, Carybeth</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>PD agendas, PLC minutes and collaborative planning lessons</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S1.MA1 M260120</td>
<td>Administrators will provide teachers and science coach with walkthrough feedback on implementation...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Walkthrough data</td>
<td>6/2/2017 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S1.MA1 M260119</td>
<td>Additional training and support will be provided as needed individually, by grade level, or whole...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Walkthrough feedback and LSI Growth Tracker</td>
<td>6/2/2017 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3.B1.S1.A1 A266851</td>
<td>Administration and grade level teachers will meet monthly to review and discus the progress...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>PLC agenda, meeting minutes and Performance Matters EWS data information</td>
<td>6/2/2017 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3.B1.S1.MA1 M260117</td>
<td>CST &amp; SBLT will meet monthly to review EWS data and determine what additional supports are needed...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>CST and SBLT meeting agenda and minutes</td>
<td>6/2/2017 biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B1.S1.MA1 M260123</td>
<td>Administration, literacy and MTSS coach will support, coach, model and provide feedback lessons.</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Coaching log, walk-through data, PLC minutes and intervention fidelity check lists.</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B1.S1.MA1 M260122</td>
<td>Administrators will observe and provide ongoing feedback on ELA lessons</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Leadership team meeting minutes</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5_MA1 M260126</td>
<td>Administration will review weekly lesson plans and use walk-through trend data to determine which...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Lesson plan feedback and leadership minutes capturing the review of walk-through data review.</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S3.MA1 M276152</td>
<td>During weekly standards based PLCs, embedded coaches will plan with grade level teams to unpack...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>PLC meeting minutes/sign-in sheets.</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S2.MA1 M276147</td>
<td>Monthly review of build walkthrough observational data and PD provided as necessary</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>As leadership team reviews monthly walkthrough data, team will develop a plan to provide additional PD support in classrooms where student autonomy is not evident.</td>
<td>6/2/2017 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S2.MA1 M276146</td>
<td>Walkthrough observational data and feedback provided to teachers</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Growth feedback data using the growth tracker or iObservation showing whether or not teachers are implementing this strategy on a routine basis</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.MA1 M260121</td>
<td>Common assessment, lab pre/post assessments and success criteria data will be collected and...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>PLC and data chat reviews meeting minutes/agenda</td>
<td>6/2/2017 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2.MA1 M260115</td>
<td>SBLT will review running record, common and bi-weekly formative assessments</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>SBLT meeting minutes</td>
<td>6/2/2017 weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Task, Action Step or Monitoring Activity</td>
<td>Who</td>
<td>Start Date (where applicable)</td>
<td>Deliverable or Evidence of Completion</td>
<td>Due Date/End Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5.B1.S1.A2</td>
<td>During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded literacy coach will design...</td>
<td>Layton, Patricia</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>PD agendas and lesson plans</td>
<td>6/2/2017 biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4.B1.S4.MA1</td>
<td>Teachers will use the LSI Tracker as a monitoring tool</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/5/2016</td>
<td>Tracker usage reports will be reviewed to determine if the tool is being utilized.</td>
<td>6/5/2017 daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2.B1.S1.MA1</td>
<td>Monitor the achievement gap amongst African American students and an non-AA students during...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/8/2016</td>
<td>Data chat meeting minutes and OPM graphs</td>
<td>5/19/2017 biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1.MA1</td>
<td>Increased number of eligible assessment modules and completion action plans for the Alliance for a...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/23/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/26/2017 biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1.B1.S1.MA1</td>
<td>The Healthy School Team will review all assessment items to determine the most feasible item(s) to...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/26/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/26/2017 every-6-weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1.B1.S1.A2</td>
<td>Healthy school team will develop an action plan to ensure that 75% of the staff meets the Bronze...</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/26/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/26/2017 every-6-weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1.B1.S1.MA1</td>
<td>Wellness Coach will monitor school-wide Bronze status</td>
<td>Houston, Willette</td>
<td>9/26/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/26/2017 every-6-weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. Professional Development Opportunities

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

**G3.** Decrease the number of students identified under two or more EWS indicators from 17% to 15% as identified in Performance Matters data base. Decrease the number of students absent 10% or more from school by 5%.

**G3.B1 Process of identifying and monitoring students who fall under two or more EWS indicators**

**G3.B1.S1** Include a time (monthly) on the master professional development schedule to review EWS data during PLCs.

**PD Opportunity 1**

Administration and grade level teachers will meet monthly to review and discuss the progress (academic, attendance and behavior) of students identified under 2+ EWS indicators.

**Facilitator**

Performance matters EWS tracking tool/ Houston and Youngerman

**Participants**

Teachers grades K-5

**Schedule**

Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

**G4.** Increase science proficiency in grade 5 from 36% to 55% as measured by the SSA.

**G4.B1** Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state science expectations.

**G4.B1.S1** Participate in standards based PLCs

**PD Opportunity 1**

Teachers will receive science training from coach and learning specialist in using new curriculum guides, SLAG, 5Es, and formative assessments

**Facilitator**

Donnika Jones and JIT Science Coach

**Participants**

K-5 teachers; VE resource teacher

**Schedule**

Monthly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017
PD Opportunity 2

Students in grades 3-5 will participate in "hands on learning" in the school's onsite science lab.

Facilitator
Tiffany Vinson and Willette Houston

Participants
K-5 teachers; VE resource teacher

Schedule
Every 6 Weeks, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

G4.B1.S2 Design instruction that is student centered and rigorous

PD Opportunity 1

PD on student centered instruction

Facilitator
Tracy Bollinger LSI

Participants
All Instructional Staff

Schedule
Semiannually, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

G4.B1.S3 Teachers must clearly understand what students should be learning

PD Opportunity 1

Instructional staff will attend PD on Learning Targets and Sequences

Facilitator
Tracy Bollinger LSI Staff Developer

Participants
All Instructional Staff

Schedule
On 8/2/2016

**PD Opportunity 1**

Provide training on formative assessments and why we need them

**Facilitator**

Tracy Bollinger/Donnika Jones

**Participants**

All Instructional Staff

**Schedule**

On 8/31/2016

G5. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 ELA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 35% to 50% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE ELA proficiency from 19% to 30% as measured by FSA. Increase grade 1 reading comprehension stanines (4-9) from 72% to 74% as measured by SAT-10. Increase grade 2 reading comprehension proficiency stanines (4-9) from 66% to 69% as measured by SAT-10.

G5.B1 Lack of effective planning

G5.B1.S1 Increased fidelity of effective ELA (reading and writing) lesson planning

**PD Opportunity 1**

Embedded literacy coach will model exemplar lessons and work with teachers to study effective methods to meet the standards which include: lesson study, cycles of coaching, and follow up or feedback sessions.

**Facilitator**

Pattie Layton

**Participants**

K-5 teachers; VE resource teacher

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/2/2016 to 6/2/2017
PD Opportunity 2

During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded literacy coach will design effective student centered lessons based on the ELA (reading and writing) standards. Lessons will include: word work, close reading of grade level material and explicit reading and writing standards based instruction.

**Facilitator**

Pattie Layton

**Participants**

K-5 teachers; VE resource teacher

**Schedule**

Biweekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017

---

**G5.B2** Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state ELA expectations.

**G5.B2.S1** Participate in standards based PLCs

---

PD Opportunity 1

Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards based lessons.

**Facilitator**

Team Leaders (Krauss, Montgomery, Rojas, Brinson, Starr, Black and McMahon)

**Participants**

All instructional staff

**Schedule**

Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017
G5.B2.S2 Design instruction that is student centered and rigorous

PD Opportunity 1

Lessons will reflect a natural progression of cognitive complexity and student autonomy

Facilitator

Tracy Bollinger (LSI Staff Developer)

Participants

All Instructional Staff

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/8/2016 to 5/19/2017

G6. Increase the percentage of students on the grades 3-5 Math FSA (satisfactory to mastery levels) from 46% to 55% as measured by FSA. Increase ESE Math proficiency from 14% to 30% as measured by FSA. Students in grade 1 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 57% to 61% as measured by SAT-10. Students in grade 2 will increase mathematical problem solving proficiency stanines (4-9) from 53% to 58% as measured by SAT-10.

G6.B1 Lack of effective planning

G6.B1.S1 Increased fidelity of effective math lesson planning

PD Opportunity 1

During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded math coach will design effective student centered lessons based on the Eight Mathematical Practices and will include: formative assessment tools, and the use of curriculum and content planning guides.

Facilitator

Carybeth Hobbs and Donnika Jones

Participants

Administration, math coach, teachers grades K-5; VE resource teacher

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 6/2/2017
PD Opportunity 2

Embedded math coach will model exemplar lessons and work with teachers to study effective methods to meet the standards which include: lesson study, cycles of coaching, and follow up or feedback sessions.

Facilitator

Carybeth Hobbs and Donnika Jones

Participants

Administration, math coach, teachers grades K-5; VE resource teacher

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/5/2016 to 9/4/2017

G6.B2 Instruction is not aligned to meet the level of rigor necessary for a majority of student to meet or exceed state science expectations.

G6.B2.S1 Participate in standards based PLCs

PD Opportunity 1

Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards bases lessons

Facilitator

Team Leaders (Krauss, Montgomery, Rojas, Brinson, Starr, Black and McMahon)

Participants

All Instructional staff

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/1/2016 to 5/19/2017
Design instruction that is student centered and rigorous

PD Opportunity 1

Lesson will reflect a natural progression of cognitive complexity and student autonomy

Facilitator

Tracy Bollinger (LSI Staff Developer)

Participants

All Instructional Staff

Schedule

Weekly, from 9/8/2016 to 5/19/2017
VI. Technical Assistance Items

Technical Assistance opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

VII. Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Budget Focus</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>FTE</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>G1.B1.S1.A1</td>
<td>Healthy school team will develop an action plan to ensure that 75% of the staff meets the Bronze Level.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>G3.B1.S1.A1</td>
<td>Administration and grade level teachers will meet monthly to review and discuss the progress (academic, attendance and behavior) of students identified under 2+ EWS indicators.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>G4.B1.S1.A1</td>
<td>Teachers will receive science training from coach and learning specialist in using new curriculum guides, SLAG, 5Es, and formative assessments</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>G4.B1.S1.A2</td>
<td>Students in grades 3-5 will participate in &quot;hands on learning&quot; in the school's onsite science lab.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>G4.B1.S3.A1</td>
<td>Instructional staff will attend PD on Learning Targets and Sequences</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>G4.B1.S4.A1</td>
<td>Provide training on formative assessments and why we need them</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>G5.B1.S1.A1</td>
<td>Embedded literacy coach will model exemplar lessons and work with teachers to study effective methods to meet the standards which include: lesson study, cycles of coaching, and follow up or feedback sessions.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>G5.B1.S1.A2</td>
<td>During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded literacy coach will design effective student centered lessons based on the ELA (reading and writing) standards. Lessons will include: word work, close reading of grade level material and explicit reading and writing standards based instruction.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>G5.B2.S1.A1</td>
<td>Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards based lessons.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>G5.B2.S2.A1</td>
<td>Lessons will reflect a natural progression of cognitive complexity and student autonomy</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>G6.B1.S1.A1</td>
<td>During collaborative planning sessions, teachers and the embedded math coach will design effective student centered lessons based on the Eight Mathematical Practices and will include: formative assessment tools, and the use of curriculum and content planning guides.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>District-Wide</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>G6.B1.S1.A2</td>
<td>Embedded math coach will model exemplar lessons and work with teachers to study effective methods to meet the standards which include: lesson study, cycles of coaching, and follow up or feedback sessions.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Budget Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G6.B2.S1.A1</th>
<th>Teachers will meet in grade level PLCs to plan effective standards based lessons</th>
<th>$0.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>G6.B2.S2.A1</td>
<td>Lesson will reflect a natural progression of cognitive complexity and student autonomy</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $0.00